

Nijenhuis structures on Courant algebroids

Yvette Kosmann-Schwarzbach

Centre de Mathématiques Laurent Schwartz, École Polytechnique, France

Geometry of Manifolds and Mathematical Physics

In honor of Włodzimierz Tulczyjew

Jagiellonian University, 27 June-1 July, 2011

From Tulczyjew's geometry of the tangent bundle to Courant algebroids

What do I remember from **Tulczyjew's work**? Many things:

- ▶ The Lagrange differential (CRAS 1975) → the exact sequence of the calculus of variations.
- ▶ T^*TM , TT^*M and T^*T^*M .
- ▶ The Legendre transformation (1973, 1977) → case of Lie algebroids, mechanics on Lie algebroids.
- ▶ The **contravariant approach** to symplectic structures (Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., 1974) → Poisson geometry.
- ▶ Hamiltonian field theory (with Kijowski, LNPh 107, 1979).
- ▶ Noether theorem.
- ▶ etc.

“From the geometry of the tangent bundle to Lie algebroids to Courant algebroids by way of Lie bialgebras.”

Our aim is to study the **infinitesimal deformations of Courant algebroids**. In particular,

- double of a Lie bialgebroid,
- double of a Lie algebroid,
- generalized tangent bundle of a manifold.

Advances in the theory of Nijenhuis operators were made by

- Fuchssteiner [1997], for general algebraic structures,
- Bedjaoui-Tebbal [2000], on the study of contractions of Lie algebras,
- Cariñena, Grabowski and Marmo [2001], on the study of contractions and deformations of both Lie algebras and Leibniz (Loday) algebras,
- Cariñena, Grabowski and Marmo [2004], on the study of Leibniz algebroids, in particular Courant algebroids (henceforth [CGM]),
- Clemente-Gallardo and Nunes da Costa [2004], on the case of Courant algebroids,
- Grabowski [2006], on the supermanifold approach to Courant algebroids (henceforth [G]).

Our contribution is mainly

- a careful study of the **properties of Nijenhuis tensors** on Courant algebroids,
- a reformulation and **new proofs** with few or no computations of the results of [CGM],
- the definition and properties of the ‘**weak deforming tensors**’.

Our description of Nijenhuis structures and related concepts relies on the use of **Roytenberg’s graded Poisson bracket** on the minimal symplectic realization of a Courant algebroid [2002], and on its interplay with the **big bracket** (Roytenberg [2002], yks [1992, 2004, 2005, 2011]).

We shall argue that, in the deformation theory of a Courant structure by a skew-symmetric tensor, the decisive property is not the vanishing of the Nijenhuis torsion of the tensor but the property which we call **weak deforming**.

What we wish to present

- ▶ The big bracket, derived bracket approach to Lie algebras, Lie bialgebras, Lie algebroids, Lie bialgebroids.
- ▶ Leibniz (Loday) algebras, double of a Lie bialgebroid, Courant algebroids.
- ▶ Results of Cariñena-Grabowski-Marmo [2001][2004] on Nijenhuis structures on Leibniz algebras and Leibniz algebroids.
- ▶ Definition of irreducibility, adapted from Grabowski [2006].
- ▶ Properties of the Nijenhuis torsion of a skew-symmetric endomorphism of a Courant algebroid.
- ▶ Definition of ‘weak deforming tensors’.
- ▶ Infinitesimal deformations of Courant structures.
- ▶ The case of the double of a Lie bialgebroid.
- ▶ The case of the double of a trivial Lie bialgebroid.
- ▶ Examples: PN-structures and Ω N-structures on a Lie algebroid define infinitesimal deformations of its double.

An even graded Poisson bracket

Kostant and Sternberg [1987]: for a vector space E equipped with a symmetric bilinear form, \langle , \rangle , there is a unique graded Poisson bracket of degree -2 on $\wedge^\bullet E$, $\{ , \}$, that extends \langle , \rangle as a biderivation.

Lecomte and Roger [1990], then yks [1992] considered the case $E = V \oplus V^*$ with the canonical symmetric bilinear form.

Then $\{ , \}$ is a Poisson bracket of bidegree $(-1, -1)$ on $\wedge^\bullet(V \oplus V^*) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0, \ell \geq 0} \wedge^k V \otimes \wedge^\ell V^*$, called the **big bracket**.

Supermanifold interpretation

$V \oplus V^*$ is the cotangent bundle T^*V of V .

Consider the supermanifold $\Pi V = V[1]$ and its cotangent bundle $T^*[2]V[1]$.

The algebra of functions on $T^*[2]V[1]$ is $\wedge^\bullet(V \oplus V^*)[1]$.

The big bracket $\{ , \}$ is the Poisson bracket of the canonical symplectic structure of this cotangent bundle.

In Lie algebra theory

In restriction to $V \otimes \wedge^\bullet V^*$, the even Poisson bracket $\{ , \}$ is the [Nijenhuis-Richardson bracket](#) of vector-valued forms on V , and similarly for vector-valued forms on V^* (up to a sign).

Example 1:

A [Lie algebra](#) structure on V is an element $\mu \in V \otimes \wedge^2 V^*$ such that

$$\{\mu, \mu\} = 0.$$

$$(\mu : \wedge^2 V \rightarrow V)$$

Example 2:

A [Lie coalgebra](#) structure on V is an element $\gamma \in \wedge^2 V \otimes V^*$ such that

$$\{\gamma, \gamma\} = 0.$$

$$(\mu : \wedge^2 V^* \rightarrow V^*)$$

The Lie bracket as a derived bracket

Let (V, μ) be a Lie algebra, where μ is the Lie algebra structure. Then $\wedge^\bullet V$ is equipped with the **Schouten bracket**, $[\ , \]^\mu$.

Proposition Let $X, Y \in \wedge^\bullet V$. Then

$$\boxed{[X, Y]^\mu = \{\{X, \mu\}, Y\}}.$$

In particular,

- the **Lie bracket** of $X, Y \in V$,

$$[X, Y] = [X, Y]^\mu = \{\{X, \mu\}, Y\}.$$

This result shows that the Lie bracket is a **derived bracket**.

The cohomological approach to Lie bialgebras

A **Lie bialgebra** structure on a vector space V is defined by $\mu \in V \otimes \wedge^2 V^*$ and $\gamma \in \wedge^2 V \otimes V^*$ such that

$$\boxed{\{\mu + \gamma, \mu + \gamma\} = 0}.$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \{\mu, \mu\} = 0, \\ \{\mu, \gamma\} = 0, \\ \{\gamma, \gamma\} = 0. \end{array} \right.$$

The compatibility condition,

γ is a cocycle of (V, μ) or μ is a cocycle of (V^*, γ) ,
is simply expressed as

$$\{\mu, \gamma\} = 0.$$

The Lie algebra cohomology operators of (V, μ) and (V^*, γ) are $d_\mu = \{\mu, \cdot\}$ and $d_\gamma = \{\gamma, \cdot\}$: **bicomplex of the Lie bialgebra.**

The double of a Lie bialgebra (V, μ, γ)

The **double** of a Lie bialgebra (V, μ, γ) is the Lie algebra

$$\boxed{(V \oplus V^*, \mu + \gamma)}.$$

- Lie algebra \rightarrow Lie algebroid.
- Lie bialgebra \rightarrow Lie bialgebroid
Mackenzie and Xu [1994] (infinitesimal of a Poisson groupoid),
Yuzvinsky [1995].
- double of a Lie bialgebra \rightarrow double of a Lie bialgebroid
(in particular, generalized tangent bundle)
Liu-Weinstein-Xu [1997].
- double of a Lie bialgebroid \rightarrow Courant algebroid.
Liu-Weinstein-Xu [1997].

The bigraded algebra \mathcal{F}

When $A \rightarrow M$ is a vector bundle,
let $A[1]$ be the graded manifold obtained from A by assigning

- degree 0 to the coordinates on the base,
- degree 1 to the coordinates on the fibers.

Let \mathcal{F} be the **bigraded commutative algebra** of smooth functions on $T^*[2]A[1]$.

Remark When M is a point, A is just a vector space.
Then $\mathcal{F} = \wedge^\bullet(A \oplus A^*)[1]$. See above.

Local coordinates on $T^*[2]A[1]$, and their bidegrees:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} x^i & \tau^\alpha & p_i & \theta_\alpha \\ (0, 0) & (0, 1) & (1, 1) & (1, 0) \end{array}$$

The big bracket for vector bundles

As the cotangent bundle of a graded manifold, $T^*[2]A[1]$ is canonically equipped with an even Poisson structure.

Denote the even Poisson bracket on \mathcal{F} by $\{ , \}$.

It is also called the **big bracket** because in the case of a vector bundle over a point (*i.e.*, a vector space) it reduces to the big bracket we have defined.

It is of bidegree $(-1, -1)$.

- It is **skew-symmetric**, $\{u, v\} = -(-1)^{|u||v|}\{v, u\}$, $u, v \in \mathcal{F}$,
- It satisfies the **Jacobi identity**,

$$\{u, \{v, w\}\} = \{\{u, v\}, w\} + (-1)^{|u||v|}\{v, \{u, w\}\},$$

$u, v, w \in \mathcal{F}$.

In local coordinates,

$$\boxed{\{x^i, p_j\} = \delta_j^i \quad \text{and} \quad \{\tau^\alpha, \theta_\beta\} = \delta_\beta^\alpha.}$$

Lie algebroids

A **Lie algebroid** structure on $A \rightarrow M$ is an element μ of \mathcal{F} of bidegree $(1, 2)$ such that

$$\{\mu, \mu\} = 0 .$$

Schouten bracket of multivectors (sections of $\wedge^\bullet A$) X and Y :

$$[X, Y] = \{\{X, \mu\}, Y\}, \quad X, Y \in \Gamma \wedge^\bullet A.$$

In particular,

- the **Lie bracket** of $X, Y \in \Gamma A$,

$$[X, Y] = \{\{X, \mu\}, Y\} .$$

- the **anchor** of A , $\rho : A \rightarrow TM$,

$$\rho(X)f = [X, f] = \{\{X, \mu\}, f\} ,$$

for $X \in \Gamma A$, $f \in C^\infty(M)$.

ρ induces a Lie algebra homomorphism from ΓA to $\Gamma(TM)$.

Examples of Lie algebroids

- ▶ TM for M any manifold,
- ▶ Lie algebra (Lie algebroid over a point),
- ▶ T^*M when M is a Poisson manifold,
- ▶ action Lie algebroids,
- ▶ etc.

In local coordinates,

$$\mu = \rho_\alpha^i \tau^\alpha p_i - \frac{1}{2} C_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma \tau^\alpha \tau^\beta \theta_\gamma.$$

The differential of a Lie algebroid

The operator $d = \{\mu, \cdot\}$ is a differential on $\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^*)$ which defines the [Lie algebroid cohomology of \$A\$](#) .

Lie algebroid cohomology generalizes

- Chevalley–Eilenberg cohomology (when M is a point, A is a Lie algebra), and
- de Rham cohomology (when $A = TM$).

The Lichnerowicz–Poisson cohomology of a Poisson manifold is another example.

A **Lie bialgebroid** structure on a vector bundle $A \rightarrow M$ is defined by μ of bidegree $(1, 2)$ and γ of bidegree $(2, 1)$ such that

$$\{\mu + \gamma, \mu + \gamma\} = 0.$$

Fact The double $V \oplus V^*$ of a Lie bialgebra is a Lie algebra.

Questions

- Is the double $A \oplus A^*$ of a Lie bialgebroid a Lie algebroid?
- In particular, is $TM \oplus T^*M$ a Lie algebroid?
- Is $\mathcal{X}(M) \oplus \Omega^1(M)$ a Lie algebra?

Before we consider the double of a Lie bialgebroid, we shall define Leibniz (Loday) algebras.

Leibniz algebras

A *Leibniz algebra* (or *Loday algebra*) is a vector space L over a field k of characteristic 0, equipped with a k -bilinear composition law, called the *Leibniz bracket*, satisfying the Jacobi identity,

$$u \circ (v \circ w) = (u \circ v) \circ w + v \circ (u \circ w),$$

for all u, v, w in L .

A Leibniz algebra with a skew-symmetric composition law is a Lie algebra.

The *Leibniz cohomology*, defined by Loday [1993], is a generalization of the Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology of Lie algebras.

Graded Leibniz algebra,

$$u \circ (v \circ w) = (u \circ v) \circ w + (-1)^{|u||v|} v \circ (u \circ w).$$

Derived bracket by an interior derivation

Theorem

Let S be an odd element in a graded Lie algebra $(L, \{ , \})$ such that $\{S, S\} = 0$. Then

$$[u, v] = \{\{u, S\}, v\}$$

defines a graded Leibniz bracket on $L[1]$.

(This theorem is a particular case of the main result of yks [1996].)

The double of a Lie bialgebroid

Let (A, μ, γ) be a Lie bialgebroid. Consider the **Dorfman bracket** on $A \oplus A^*$ defined by

$$[u, v]_D = \{\{u, \mu + \gamma\}, v\},$$

for u and $v \in \Gamma(A \oplus A^*)$.

By the preceding theorem, it is a **Leibniz bracket**.

The skew-symmetrized Dorfman bracket is called the **Courant bracket**.

If $\gamma = 0$, the Lie bialgebroid is called *trivial*.

Generalized tangent bundles

In particular, if $A = TM$, equipped with the identity endomorphism as anchor and the Lie bracket of vector fields, and if $\gamma = 0$, then $TM \oplus T^*M$ is the *standard Courant algebroid* or *generalized tangent bundle* of M .

The Dorfman bracket on $TM \oplus T^*M$ is explicitly

$$[X + \xi + \eta]_D = [X, Y] + \mathcal{L}_X \eta - i_Y(d\xi),$$

for all vector fields, X and Y , and all 1-forms, ξ and η , on M .

The original bracket of T. Courant [1990] is recovered as the skew-symmetrized Dorfman bracket.

Towards the definition of Courant algebroids

We follow the approach of Roytenberg [2002].

Let $(E, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a vector bundle over a manifold M , equipped with a fiberwise symmetric bilinear form.

Let j be the injective map from $E[1]$ to $E[1] \oplus E^*[1]$ defined by

$$u \mapsto \left(u, \frac{1}{2} \langle u, \cdot \rangle \right).$$

The map $j : E[1] \rightarrow E[1] \oplus E^*[1]$ is such that $\langle ju, jv \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle$ for all $u, v \in E$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the canonical fiberwise symmetric bilinear form on $E \oplus E^*$.

The **minimal symplectic realization** of $(E, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is the bundle

$$\tilde{E} = j^!(T^*[2]E[1]),$$

where $j^!$ denotes the pull-back by j .

The graded Poisson bracket $\{ , \}$

The algebra of functions on the minimal symplectic realization \tilde{E} of E is canonically equipped with a **graded Poisson bracket of degree -2** .

We denote this Poisson bracket by $\{ , \}$ and this graded Poisson algebra by \mathcal{A} .

Facts

$$\mathcal{A}^0 = C^\infty(M), \quad \mathcal{A}^1 = \Gamma E.$$

For all sections u, v of E ,

$$\{u, v\} = \langle u, v \rangle.$$

Local coordinates Let (e_a) be a local basis of sections of E and let $g_{ab} = \langle e_a, e_b \rangle$.

Let $(q^i, \tau^a, p_i, \theta_a)$ be canonical local coordinates on $T^*[2]E[1]$.

If $\theta_a = \frac{1}{2}g_{ab}\tau^b$, then (q^i, τ^a, p_i) are local coordinates on \tilde{E} such that

$$\{q^i, p_j\} = \delta_j^i \quad \text{and} \quad \{\tau^a, \tau^b\} = g^{ab}.$$

Definition of Courant algebroids

A *Courant algebroid* structure on a vector bundle $(E, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ over a manifold M , equipped with a fiberwise symmetric bilinear form, is defined by an element $\Theta \in \mathcal{A}^3$ such that

$$\boxed{\{\Theta, \Theta\} = 0}.$$

We shall assume that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is non-degenerate.

Example The double of a Lie bialgebroid with $\Theta = \mu + \gamma$.

In local coordinates,

$$\Theta = \rho_a^i \tau^a p_i - \frac{1}{6} C_{abc} \tau^a \tau^b \tau^c.$$

The anchor and bracket as derived brackets

In a Courant algebroid defined by Θ ,

- the *anchor* $\rho : E \rightarrow TM$ is defined by $\rho(u)f = \{\{u, \Theta\}, f\}$,
 - the *bracket* of sections of E is defined by $[u, v] = \{\{u, \Theta\}, v\}$,
- for all sections u, v of E , and $f \in C^\infty(M)$.

As in the case of the double of a Lie bialgebroid,

- ▶ bracket $[,]$ is called the *Dorfman bracket*.
- ▶ the *Courant bracket* is the skew-symmetrization of the Dorfman bracket.

The bracket of sections is the *derived bracket* by Θ of the canonical Poisson bracket of \mathcal{A} restricted to $\mathcal{A}^1 \times \mathcal{A}^1 = \Gamma E \times \Gamma E$, therefore *the Dorfman bracket is a Leibniz bracket*.

We denote a Courant algebroid by $(E, \langle , \rangle, \Theta)$, or just E .

The operator $d_\Theta = \{\Theta, \cdot\}$ is a *cohomology operator* on \mathcal{A} .

Two relations

Define $\partial : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow \Gamma E$ by

$$\langle u, \partial f \rangle = \rho(u) \cdot f.$$

We shall make use of the relations,

$$[u, v] + [v, u] = \partial \langle u, v \rangle,$$

and

$$\langle [u, v], w \rangle + \langle v, [u, w] \rangle = \langle u, \partial \langle v, w \rangle \rangle.$$

Tensors on E and functions on \tilde{E}

Let $(E, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a vector bundle equipped with a fiberwise symmetric bilinear form.

Any skew-symmetric contravariant or covariant tensor, t , can be identified with a **function \tilde{t} on \tilde{E}** .

In **local coordinates**, to a skew-symmetric contravariant k -tensor, $t = t^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_k} e_{a_1} e_{a_2} \dots e_{a_k}$, corresponds

$$\tilde{t} = \frac{1}{k!} t^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_k} g_{a_1 b_1} g_{a_2 b_2} \dots g_{a_k b_k} \tau^{b_1} \tau^{b_2} \dots \tau^{b_k} \in \mathcal{A}^k.$$

When no confusion can arise, we shall sometimes write t for \tilde{t} .

A section $u = u^a e_a$ of E is identified with the function $u = g_{ab} u^a \tau^b \in \mathcal{A}^1$.

Skew-symmetric endomorphisms

Let $\mathcal{N} : E \rightarrow E$ be a **skew-symmetric endomorphism** of the vector bundle E , i.e., \mathcal{N} is such that

$$\mathcal{N} + {}^t\mathcal{N} = 0.$$

Remark Other authors call these endomorphisms *orthogonal*.

In **local coordinates**, if $\mathcal{N}(e_a) = \mathcal{N}_a^b e_b$, the skew-symmetry condition is

$$\mathcal{N}_a^b g_{bc} = \mathcal{N}_c^b g_{ba}.$$

Then

$$\tilde{\mathcal{N}} = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{N}_a^b g_{bc} \tau^a \tau^c \in \mathcal{A}^2.$$

Proposition

In terms of the Poisson bracket of \mathcal{A} , $\mathcal{N}(u) = \{u, \tilde{\mathcal{N}}\}$,
for all sections u of E .

Nijenhuis structures on Leibniz algebras [CGM]

Let (L, \circ) be a Leibniz algebra. For an endomorphism N of L , define

$$u \circ_N v = Nu \circ v + u \circ Nv - N(u \circ v),$$

and set

$$(TN)(u, v) = Nu \circ Nv - N(u \circ_N v).$$

$TN : L \times L \rightarrow L$ is called the *Nijenhuis torsion* or simply the *torsion* of N ,

N is said to be a *Nijenhuis tensor* or a *Nijenhuis structure* on (L, \circ) if $TN = 0$.

Proposition

A *necessary and sufficient condition* for \circ_N to be a Leibniz bracket is that TN be a *Leibniz cocycle*.

Proposition

When N is a Nijenhuis tensor on (L, \circ) ,

- (i) \circ_N is a Leibniz bracket,
- (ii) N is a *morphism of Leibniz algebras* from (L, \circ_N) to (L, \circ) , and
- (iii) \circ_N is *compatible* with \circ in the sense that their sum is a Leibniz bracket.

I.e., Nijenhuis tensors define trivial infinitesimal deformations of Leibniz brackets.

Bracket $[,]_{\mathcal{N}}$

Let $(E, \langle , \rangle, \Theta)$ be a Courant algebroid over a manifold M , where \langle , \rangle is a fiberwise symmetric bilinear form. The function $\Theta \in \mathcal{A}^3$ determines the anchor, ρ , and the Leibniz bracket on sections, $[,]$. We shall assume that $\mathcal{N} : E \rightarrow E$ is a **skew-symmetric** vector bundle endomorphism. We define, for all $u, v \in \Gamma E$,

$$[u, v]_{\mathcal{N}} = [\mathcal{N}u, v] + [u, \mathcal{N}v] - \mathcal{N}[u, v].$$

Proposition

In terms of the Poisson bracket of \mathcal{A} ,

$$[u, v]_{\mathcal{N}} = \{ \{ u, \{ \tilde{\mathcal{N}}, \Theta \} \}, v \},$$

for all $u, v \in \Gamma E = \mathcal{A}^1$.

We now define the *Nijenhuis torsion*, or simply the *torsion* of \mathcal{N} by

$$(T_{\Theta}\mathcal{N})(u, v) = [\mathcal{N}u, \mathcal{N}v] - \mathcal{N}[u, v]_{\mathcal{N}},$$

for all sections u, v of E . A skew-symmetric endomorphism whose torsion vanishes is called a *Nijenhuis tensor*.

Remark For an endomorphism that is not skew-symmetric, the torsion can still be defined by the same formula. Many results are also valid for endomorphisms $\mathcal{N}' = \mathcal{N} + \kappa \text{Id}_E$, which are characterized by the condition $\mathcal{N}' + {}^t\mathcal{N}' = 2\kappa \text{Id}_E$. Such endomorphisms are called *paired* in [CGM].

Use of the Courant bracket

One can also define the torsion $T_C\mathcal{N}$ of an endomorphism \mathcal{N} with respect to the Courant bracket, replacing the Dorfman bracket by its skew-symmetrization in the preceding formulas. The relation between the two torsions is

$$(T_C\mathcal{N})(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} ((T_\Theta\mathcal{N})(u, v) - (T_\Theta\mathcal{N})(v, u)).$$

Proposition

For a skew-symmetric endomorphism \mathcal{N} ,

$$\begin{aligned} & (T_C\mathcal{N})(u, v) - (T_\Theta\mathcal{N})(u, v) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\partial\langle u, \mathcal{N}^2 v \rangle - \mathcal{N}^2\partial\langle u, v \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

If \mathcal{N}^2 is a scalar multiple of the identity of E , both torsions, $T_\Theta\mathcal{N}$ and $T_C\mathcal{N}$, coincide.

Almost cps and cps structures

We introduce the following definition, due to I. Vaisman, where 'cps' stands for 'complex, product or subtangent'.

Definition

An endomorphism \mathcal{N} of a vector bundle E such that $\mathcal{N}^2 = \lambda \text{Id}_E$, where $\lambda = -1, 0$ or 1 , is called an *almost cps structure* on E . An almost cps structure on a Lie algebroid or a Courant algebroid is called a *cps structure* if its torsion vanishes.

When $(E, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \Theta)$ is a Courant algebroid, the torsion $T_\Theta \mathcal{N}$ of $\mathcal{N} : E \rightarrow E$ is a map from $\Gamma E \times \Gamma E$ to ΓE . Unlike the case of Lie algebroids, $T_\Theta \mathcal{N}$ is not in general $C^\infty(M)$ -linear in both arguments, and in general it is not skew-symmetric.

Properties of the torsion. Lack of C^∞ -linearity and of skew-symmetry

Let \mathcal{N} be a skew-symmetric endomorphism of a Courant algebroid.

Lack of C^∞ -linearity.

It is clear that

$$(T_\Theta \mathcal{N})(u, fv) = f(T_\Theta \mathcal{N})(u, v),$$

but

$$(T_\Theta \mathcal{N})(fu, v) = f(T_\Theta \mathcal{N})(u, v) + \langle u, v \rangle \mathcal{N}^2(\partial f) - \langle u, \mathcal{N}^2 v \rangle \partial f.$$

Lack of skew-symmetry.

Using the fact that \mathcal{N} is skew-symmetric, we obtain

$$(T_\Theta \mathcal{N})(u, v) + (T_\Theta \mathcal{N})(v, u) = \mathcal{N}^2 \partial \langle u, v \rangle - \partial \langle u, \mathcal{N}^2 v \rangle.$$

Associated 3-tensor

In order to determine whether $T_{\Theta}\mathcal{N}$ determines a **skew-symmetric covariant 3-tensor**, we use the skew-symmetry of \mathcal{N} and relations stated above to obtain

$$\langle (T_{\Theta}\mathcal{N})(u, v), w \rangle + \langle (T_{\Theta}\mathcal{N})(u, w), v \rangle = \langle \mathcal{N}^2[u, w] - [u, \mathcal{N}^2 w], v \rangle.$$

Theorem When $\mathcal{N}^2 = \lambda \text{Id}_E$, the torsion of \mathcal{N} is $C^\infty(M)$ -linear in both arguments and skew-symmetric, and defines a skew-symmetric covariant 3-tensor on E , $\widetilde{T_{\Theta}\mathcal{N}} \in \mathcal{A}^3$, by

$$\widetilde{T_{\Theta}\mathcal{N}}(u, v, w) = \langle (T_{\Theta}\mathcal{N})(u, v), w \rangle.$$

.../...

Theorem (continued)

When $\mathcal{N}^2 = \lambda \text{Id}_E$,

(i) For all sections u, v of E ,

$$(T_{\Theta}\mathcal{N})(u, v) = \{\{u, \widetilde{T_{\Theta}\mathcal{N}}, v\}.$$

(ii)

$$\widetilde{T_{\Theta}\mathcal{N}} = -\frac{1}{2}(\{\{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}, \Theta\}, \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}\} + \lambda\Theta).$$

Nijenhuis tensors on irreducible Courant algebroids

Definition

A Courant algebroid $(E, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \Theta)$ is *irreducible* if any symmetric vector bundle endomorphism ϕ of E such that, for all sections u and v of E ,

$$[u, \phi v] = \phi[u, v] \quad \text{and} \quad [\phi u, v] = \phi[u, v],$$

is a scalar multiple of the identity endomorphism, Id_E , of E .

Remark This definition is inspired by, but different from Grabowski's definition in [G]. Any irreducible Courant algebroid in the sense of Grabowski is irreducible in this sense.

Theorem

If E is irreducible, any Nijenhuis tensor on E is proportional to a cps structure.

An equivalent result was proved in [CGM].

Deforming tensors

Tensors with vanishing Nijenhuis torsion do not, in general, define trivial infinitesimal deformations of the Dorfman bracket of a Courant algebroid unless they have additional properties such as proportionality to an almost cps structure. We are thus led to introduce the following definitions.

Definition

A skew-symmetric endomorphism \mathcal{N} of a Courant algebroid $(E, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \Theta)$ is called a

- (i) *weak deforming tensor* for Θ if $\{\{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}, \Theta\}, \tilde{\mathcal{N}}\}$ is a d_Θ -cocycle,
- (ii) *deforming tensor* for Θ if $\{\{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}, \Theta\}, \tilde{\mathcal{N}}\}$ is a scalar multiple of Θ .

This terminology is justified by the fact that, because

$$d_\Theta \Theta = \{\Theta, \Theta\} = 0,$$

any deforming tensor is a weak deforming tensor.

The next theorem is further justification for the terms that we have introduced.

Theorem

Let \mathcal{N} be a skew-symmetric endomorphism of a Courant algebroid $(E, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \Theta)$. Then $\{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}, \Theta\}$ is a Courant algebroid structure on $(E, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ *if and only if \mathcal{N} is a weak deforming tensor* for Θ .

Proof Use the Jacobi identity to show that

$$\{\{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}, \Theta\}, \{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}, \Theta\}\} = \{\Theta, \{\{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}, \Theta\}, \tilde{\mathcal{N}}\}\}.$$

When \mathcal{N} is a weak deforming tensor, the Courant algebroid structure $\{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}, \Theta\}$ on $(E, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is **compatible** with Θ . In fact, $\{\Theta + \{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}, \Theta\}, \Theta + \{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}, \Theta\}\}$ vanishes.

The condition $\{\Theta, T_{\Theta}\mathcal{N}\} = 0$ makes sense only if $T_{\Theta}\mathcal{N}$ is an element of \mathcal{A}^3 . If E is irreducible this is the case if and only if \mathcal{N} is proportional to an almost cps structure, whence the following definition.

Definition

If \mathcal{N} is proportional to an almost cps structure, and if $T_{\Theta}\mathcal{N}$ is a d_{Θ} -cocycle, \mathcal{N} is called a *weak Nijenhuis tensor*.

Implications and equivalence

In the special case where tensors are **proportional to an almost cps structure**,

- A Nijenhuis tensor is a weak Nijenhuis tensor.
- A Nijenhuis tensor is a deforming tensor, and therefore also a weak deforming tensor.
- A tensor is weak Nijenhuis if and only if it is weak deforming.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Nijenhuis} & \Rightarrow & \text{weak Nijenhuis} \\ \downarrow & & \updownarrow \\ \text{deforming} & \Rightarrow & \text{weak deforming} \end{array}$$

Corollary

Let \mathcal{N} be a skew-symmetric endomorphism of a Courant algebroid $(E, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \Theta)$, **proportional to an almost cps structure**. Then $\{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}, \Theta\}$ is a Courant algebroid structure on $(E, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ **if and only if \mathcal{N} is a weak Nijenhuis tensor**.

Morphism property of \mathcal{N}

While the compatibility of Θ and $\{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}, \Theta\}$ is satisfied as soon as \mathcal{N} is weak deforming, it is the vanishing of the torsion which implies a morphism property of \mathcal{N} . Recall that an almost cps structure is a cps structure if and only if its torsion vanishes.

Proposition

Let \mathcal{N} be a skew-symmetric endomorphism of E proportional to an almost cps structure. Then \mathcal{N} is a morphism of Courant algebroids from $(E, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}, \Theta\})$ to $(E, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \Theta)$ if and only if \mathcal{N} is proportional to a cps structure.

Cf. also [CGM] and [G].

The case of the double of a Lie bialgebroid

Let A be a vector bundle and let $E = A \oplus A^*$ be equipped with the canonical symmetric bilinear form \langle , \rangle . The minimal symplectic realization of E is $\tilde{E} = T^*[2]A[1]$. Then $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{F}$, and the canonical Poisson bracket of \mathcal{A} coincides with the big bracket.

Almost cps structures on $A \oplus A^*$

Any vector bundle endomorphism of $E = A \oplus A^*$ is of the form

$\mathcal{N} = \begin{pmatrix} N & \pi \\ \omega & N' \end{pmatrix}$, where $N : A \rightarrow A$, $N' : A^* \rightarrow A^*$, $\pi : A^* \rightarrow A$ and $\omega : A \rightarrow A^*$.

The endomorphism \mathcal{N} is **skew-symmetric** if and only if $N' = -{}^tN$, π is a bivector on A , and ω is a 2-form on A .

The conditions for $\mathcal{N}^2 = \lambda \text{Id}_E$ are (i) $N\pi$ is a bivector, (ii) ωN is a 2-form and (iii) $N^2 + \pi\omega = \lambda \text{Id}_A$.

A vector bundle endomorphism N of A , considered as an element in $A^* \otimes A$, defines a skew-symmetric endomorphism

$$\mathcal{N} = \begin{pmatrix} N & 0 \\ 0 & -{}^tN \end{pmatrix} \text{ of } A \oplus A^*.$$

Then \mathcal{N} and $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ satisfy

$$\mathcal{N}(X + \xi) = \{X + \xi, \tilde{\mathcal{N}}\},$$

for all $X \in \Gamma A$ and $\xi \in \Gamma(A^*)$.

In local coordinates

Let (e_α) be a local basis of sections of A and let (ϵ^α) be the dual basis. Let $(x^i, \tau^\alpha, p_i, \theta_\alpha)$ be local coordinates on $T^*[2]A[1]$. If

$$N = N_\beta^\alpha \epsilon^\beta e_\alpha, \text{ then } \tilde{\mathcal{N}} = N_\beta^\alpha \tau^\beta \theta_\alpha.$$

As elements in \mathcal{A}^2 , N and $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ are identified.

Consider a Lie bialgebroid (A, μ, γ) .

Question Compare $T_{\mu+\gamma}\mathcal{N}$ with the torsions $T_{\mu}N$ and $T_{\gamma}{}^tN$?

Answer It is the sum of the two in a suitable sense.

Tensors on A and functions on $T^*[2]A[1]$

To a tensor $t \in A \otimes \wedge^2 A^*$ we associate \tilde{t} in $\wedge^3(A \oplus A^*)$ such that

$$\tilde{t}(X + \xi, Y + \eta, Z + \zeta) = \langle t(X, Y), \zeta \rangle + \langle t(Y, Z), \xi \rangle + \langle t(Z, X), \eta \rangle,$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in A$ and $\xi, \eta, \zeta \in A^*$. The map induced on sections of $A \oplus A^*$ by \tilde{t} is the element in \mathcal{A} that corresponds to t . Then

$$\tilde{t}(X + \xi, Y + \eta, Z + \zeta) = \{ \{ \{ X + \xi, \tilde{t} \}, Y + \eta \}, Z + \zeta \}.$$

In local coordinates

If $t = \frac{1}{2} t_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha} \epsilon^{\beta} \epsilon^{\gamma} e_{\alpha}$, then $\tilde{t} = \frac{1}{2} t_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha} \tau^{\beta} \tau^{\gamma} \theta_{\alpha}$.

There is a similar definition of \tilde{s} for $s \in \wedge^2 A \otimes A^*$.

Torsion of \mathcal{N} in the case of the double of a Lie bialgebroid

When (A, μ) and (A^*, γ) are Lie algebroids, if the torsion $T_{\mu+\gamma}\mathcal{N}$ of \mathcal{N} defines an element in \mathcal{A}^3 , we can compare $\widetilde{T_{\mu+\gamma}\mathcal{N}}$ with the sum of the elements in $\Gamma(\wedge^3(A \oplus A^*)) \subset \mathcal{A}^3$ defined by the torsion $T_\mu N$ of N and the torsion $T_\gamma {}^tN$ of tN .

Theorem

Let $((A, \mu), (A^*, \gamma))$ be a Lie bialgebroid. Let $N : A \rightarrow A$ be a vector bundle endomorphism, and let \mathcal{N} be the skew-symmetric endomorphism of $A \oplus A^*$ with matrix $\begin{pmatrix} N & 0 \\ 0 & -{}^tN \end{pmatrix}$.

(i) The element $\{\{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}, \mu + \gamma\}, \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}\}$ is in \mathcal{A}^3 and is equal to $\{\{N, \mu + \gamma\}, N\}$.

(ii) If N is proportional to an almost cps structure on A , then

$$\boxed{\widetilde{T_{\mu+\gamma}\mathcal{N}} = \widetilde{T_\mu N} + \widetilde{T_\gamma {}^tN}}.$$

Explicit form of equation $\widetilde{T_{\mu+\gamma}\mathcal{N}} = \widetilde{T_{\mu}N} + \widetilde{T_{\gamma}{}^tN}$

The explicit form of equation $\widetilde{T_{\mu+\gamma}\mathcal{N}} = \widetilde{T_{\mu}N} + \widetilde{T_{\gamma}{}^tN}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} & (T_{\mu+\gamma}\mathcal{N})(X + \xi, Y + \eta, Z + \zeta) \\ &= (T_{\mu}N)(X, Y, \zeta) + (T_{\mu}N)(Y, Z, \xi) + (T_{\mu}N)(Z, X, \eta) \\ &+ (T_{\gamma}{}^tN)(\xi, \eta, Z) + (T_{\gamma}{}^tN)(\eta, \zeta, X) + (T_{\gamma}{}^tN)(\zeta, \xi, Y), \end{aligned}$$

for all sections $X + \xi, Y + \eta, Z + \zeta$ of $A \oplus A^*$.

Deformations of Lie bialgebroids

The preceding theorem implies that if $N^2 = \lambda \text{Id}_A$ and both N and tN are Nijenhuis tensors, then $\mathcal{N} = \begin{pmatrix} N & 0 \\ 0 & -{}^tN \end{pmatrix}$, is a Nijenhuis tensor for the double of the Lie bialgebroid.

In addition, deforming (A, μ) by N and (A^*, γ) by tN yields a Lie bialgebroid whose double is the Courant algebroid $(A \oplus A^*, \{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}, \mu + \gamma\})$.

The case of trivial Lie bialgebroids

We now consider the particular case of the [trivial Lie bialgebroids](#), such as the generalized tangent bundles.

If $((A, \mu), (A^*, 0))$ is the trivial Lie bialgebroid associated with the Lie algebroid (A, μ) , then

$$\widetilde{T_{\mu} \mathcal{N}} = \widetilde{T_{\mu} N}.$$

In particular, in this case, if $N^2 = \lambda \text{Id}_A$, deforming the Dorfman bracket of the double by \mathcal{N} amounts to deforming (A, μ) by N .

Deformations of trivial Lie bialgebroids

In the following theorem it is not required that N^2 be a scalar multiple of the identity.

Theorem

Let (A, μ) be a Lie algebroid, and let N be a vector bundle endomorphism of A . If N is a Nijenhuis tensor for (A, μ) , then

$\mathcal{N} = \begin{pmatrix} N & 0 \\ 0 & -{}^tN \end{pmatrix}$ is a weak deforming tensor for the Courant

algebroid $(A \oplus A^, \mu)$, and $\{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}, \mu\}$ is a Courant algebroid structure on $A \oplus A^*$, which is the double of the trivial Lie bialgebroid defined by (A, μ_N) , where $\mu_N = \{N, \mu\}$.*

Example: PN-structures and deforming tensors

Various types of **composite structures** on Lie algebroids give rise to infinitesimal deformations of the Dorfman bracket of the double of a trivial Lie bialgebroid. We assume that (A, μ) is a Lie algebroid, and we consider the trivial Lie bialgebroid $((A, \mu), (A^*, 0))$,

Proposition

Let N be a vector bundle endomorphism of A , and let π be a bivector on A such that $N\pi = \pi {}^tN$. If (π, N) is a **PN-structure** on A , then the skew-symmetric endomorphism of $A \oplus A^*$,

$\mathcal{N} = \begin{pmatrix} N & \pi \\ 0 & -{}^tN \end{pmatrix}$, is a **weak deforming tensor** for $(A \oplus A^*, \mu)$.

As a consequence we recover the well-known fact that when (π, N) is a PN-structure on A , then $\{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}, \mu\} = \{N, \mu\} + \{\pi, \mu\}$ is a Courant algebroid structure on $A \oplus A^*$, the double of the Lie bialgebroid $((A, \mu_N), (A^*, \gamma_\pi))$, where $\gamma_\pi = \{\pi, \mu\}$. See yks-Rubtsov [2010].

PN-structures where N^2 is proportional to the identity

If N^2 is proportional to the identity of A , and if π is a bivector such that $N\pi = \pi^t N$, then \mathcal{N}^2 is proportional to the identity of $A \oplus A^*$, and $\widetilde{T_\mu(\mathcal{N})}$ is identified with $T_\mu(N) - \frac{1}{2}[\pi, \pi]^\mu + \frac{1}{2}C_\mu(\pi, N)$ in \mathcal{A}^3 . Using the bigrading of \mathcal{A} , we conclude,

Proposition

If N is proportional to an almost cps structure on A , and π is a bivector such that $N\pi = \pi^t N$, then $T_\mu(\mathcal{N}) = 0$ if and only if (π, N) is a PN-structure.

Example: ΩN -structures and deforming tensors

We can also relate ΩN -structures to deforming tensors, although there is no obvious analogue of the previous proposition.

Proposition

Let N be a vector bundle endomorphism of A , and let ω be a 2-form on A such that $\omega N = {}^t N \omega$. If (ω, N) is an ΩN -structure on A , then the skew-symmetric endomorphism of $A \oplus A^$,*

$\mathcal{N} = \begin{pmatrix} N & 0 \\ \omega & -{}^t N \end{pmatrix}$, is a weak deforming tensor for $(A \oplus A^, \mu)$.*

To be continued...

- Related work in progress :
 - ▶ Paulo Antunes, Camille Laurent-Gengoux and Joana Nunes da Costa on compatible structures on Courant algebroids.
 - ▶ Mathieu Stiénon and Wei Hong on holomorphic symplectic and hypercomplex structures.
- Further problems:
 - ▶ Investigate the role of the Nijenhuis tensors and define Nijenhuis relations in the theory of Dirac pairs on general Courant algebroids (Dirac pairs generalize the bi-hamiltonian structures and have applications to integrable systems.)
 - ▶ Develop the theory of Dirac-Nijenhuis structures ([CGM], Clemente-Gallardo and Nunes da Costa, He and Liu).

Some references on Lie bialgebras, Lie bialgebroids, Courant algebroids

B. Kostant and S. Sternberg, Symplectic reduction, BRS cohomology, and infinite-dimensional Clifford algebras, *Ann. Physics* **176**, 1987.

P. Lecomte et C. Roger, Modules et cohomologies des bigèbres de Lie, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **310** (1990).

—, Jacobian quasi-bialgebras and quasi-Poisson Lie groups, *Contemp. Math.* **132**, 1992.

—, *Ann. Fourier* **46** (1996).

—, Derived brackets, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **69** (2004).

T. Voronov, Graded manifolds and Drinfeld doubles for Lie bialgebroids, *Contemp. Math.* **315**, 2002.

D. Roytenberg, Quasi-Lie bialgebroids and twisted Poisson manifolds, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **61** (2002).

—, On the structure of graded symplectic supermanifolds and Courant algebroids, in *Contemp. Math.* **315**, 2002.

Zhang-Ju Liu, A. Weinstein, Ping Xu, Manin triples for Lie bialgebroids, *J. Differential Geom.* **45** (1997).

Some references on Nijenhuis tensors

- B. Fuchssteiner, Compatibility in abstract algebraic structures, in *Algebraic aspects of integrable systems*, Birkhäuser, 1997.
- N. Bedjaoui-Tebbal, Contractions d'algèbres de Lie et torsion de Nijenhuis, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin* **7** (2000).
- J. Cariñena, J. Grabowski, G. Marmo, Contractions: Nijenhuis and Saletan tensors for general algebraic structures, *J. Phys.* **A 34** (2001).
—, Courant algebroid and Lie bialgebroid contractions, *J. Phys.* **A 37** (2004).
- J. Clemente-Gallardo, J. M. Nunes da Costa, Dirac-Nijenhuis structures, *J. Phys.* **A 37** (2004).
- J. Grabowski, Courant-Nijenhuis tensors and generalized geometries, *Monogr. Real Acad. Ci. Exact. Fís.-Quím. Nat. Zaragoza* **29**, 2006.
- , Nijenhuis structures on Courant algebroids, *Bull. Brazilian Math. Soc.*, to appear.

With my compliments to Professor Tulczyjew!