# Lagrangian and Hamiltonian Mechanics

#### Katarzyna Grabowska

University of Warsaw

Kraków, June 27, 2011

KG (UW)

27/06/2011 1 / 32

• • = • • =



KG (UW)

27/06/2011 2 / 32

・ロト・西ト・ヨト・ヨー うへぐ

### Introduction

- Variational Calculus in Statics
- Lagrangian Mechanics
- Hamiltonian Mechanics
- Examples of Triples

3

• • • • • • • • • • • •

### Introduction

### Variational Calculus in Statics

- Lagrangian Mechanics
- Hamiltonian Mechanics
- Examples of Triples

3

• • • • • • • • • • • •

- Introduction
- Variational Calculus in Statics
- Lagrangian Mechanics
- Hamiltonian Mechanics
- Examples of Triples

→ Ξ →

- Introduction
- Variational Calculus in Statics
- Lagrangian Mechanics
- Hamiltonian Mechanics
- Examples of Triples

→ Ξ →

- Introduction
- Variational Calculus in Statics
- Lagrangian Mechanics
- Hamiltonian Mechanics
- Examples of Triples

< ⊒ >



- Q manifold of configurations
- $\Gamma$  admissible processes: one-dimensional oriented submanifolds with border
- $\mathcal{W}: \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$  cost function

→ Ξ →





### • Q - manifold of configurations

- **F** admissible processes: one-dimensional oriented submanifolds with border
- $\mathcal{W}:\Gamma\to\mathbb{R}$  cost function

→ ∃ ▶



- Q manifold of configurations
- $\bullet~\Gamma$  admissible processes: one-dimensional oriented submanifolds with border
- $\mathcal{W}: \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$  cost function



- Q manifold of configurations
- $\bullet~\Gamma$  admissible processes: one-dimensional oriented submanifolds with border
- $\mathcal{W}: \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$  cost function



### $\bullet \ \mathcal{W}: \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$ - cost function

- additive
- ► local, i.e.  $W(\gamma) = \int_{\gamma} W$ , for W positively homogeneous function on the set  $\Delta$  of vectors  $\delta q$  tangent to admissible processes
- $(Q, \Delta, W)$  contains all the information about the system!



### $\bullet \ \mathcal{W}: \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$ - cost function

- additive
- ► local, i.e.  $W(\gamma) = \int_{\gamma} W$ , for W positively homogeneous function on the set  $\Delta$  of vectors  $\delta q$  tangent to admissible processes

•  $(Q, \Delta, W)$  contains all the information about the system!



- $\bullet \ \mathcal{W}: \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$  cost function
  - additive
  - ► local, i.e.  $W(\gamma) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} W$ , for W positively homogeneous function on

the set  $\Delta$  of vectors  $\delta q$  tangent to admissible processes

•  $(Q, \Delta, W)$  contains all the information about the system!



- $\mathcal{W}:\Gamma\to\mathbb{R}$  cost function
  - additive
  - local, i.e.  $W(\gamma) = \int_{\gamma} W$ , for W positively homogeneous function on the set  $\Delta$  of vectors  $\delta q$  tangent to admissible processes

•  $(Q, \Delta, W)$  contains all the information about the system!

In statics we are interested in finding equilibrium points of the system, isolated as well as interacting with other systems.

#### Definition

Point  $q \in Q$  is an equilibrium point of the system if for all processes starting in q the cost function is positive, at least initially.

First order necessary condition:

if q is an equilibrium point than  $\forall \delta q \in \Delta_q \quad W(\delta q) \ge 0.$ 

• • = • • =

In statics we are interested in finding equilibrium points of the system, isolated as well as interacting with other systems.

#### Definition

Point  $q \in Q$  is an equilibrium point of the system if for all processes starting in q the cost function is positive, at least initially.

#### First order necessary condition:

if q is an equilibrium point than  $\forall \delta q \in \Delta_q \quad W(\delta q) \ge 0.$ 

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

In statics we are interested in finding equilibrium points of the system, isolated as well as interacting with other systems.

#### Definition

Point  $q \in Q$  is an equilibrium point of the system if for all processes starting in q the cost function is positive, at least initially.

First order necessary condition:

if q is an equilibrium point than  $\forall \delta q \in \Delta_q \quad W(\delta q) \ge 0.$ 

• • = • • = •

#### Interactions between systems are described by composite systems

- system (1) and (2) have the same configurations *Q*
- $\Delta = \Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2$ •  $W = W_1 + W_2$
- here are distinguished systems called regular
  - not constrained:  $\Delta = TQ$
  - the cost function is the differential of an internal energy function

 $W(\delta q) = \langle dU(q), \delta q \rangle.$ 

• If q is an equilibrium point for regular system then dU(q) = 0

イロト 不得 トイラト イラト 一日

Interactions between systems are described by composite systems



- system (1) and (2) have the same configurations *Q*
- $\Delta = \Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2$
- $W = W_1 + W_2$

There are distinguished systems called regular

• not constrained:  $\Delta = TQ$ 

• the cost function is the differential of an internal energy function

 $W(\delta q) = \langle dU(q), \delta q \rangle.$ 

Interactions between systems are described by composite systems



system (1) and (2) have the same configurations Q
△ = △<sub>1</sub> ∩ △<sub>2</sub>
W = W<sub>1</sub> + W<sub>2</sub>

There are distinguished systems called regular

• not constrained:  $\Delta = TQ$ 

the cost function is the differential of an internal energy function

 $W(\delta q) = \langle dU(q), \delta q \rangle.$ 

Interactions between systems are described by composite systems



- system (1) and (2) have the same configurations Q
  Δ = Δ<sub>1</sub> ∩ Δ<sub>2</sub>
- $W = W_1 + W_2$

There are distinguished systems called regular

• not constrained:  $\Delta = \top Q$ 

• the cost function is the differential of an internal energy function

 $W(\delta q) = \langle dU(q), \delta q \rangle.$ 

Interactions between systems are described by composite systems



- system (1) and (2) have the same configurations Q
- $\bullet \ \Delta = \Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2$
- $W = W_1 + W_2$

There are distinguished systems called regular

• not constrained:  $\Delta = \top Q$ 

• the cost function is the differential of an internal energy function

 $W(\delta q) = \langle dU(q), \delta q \rangle.$ 

Interactions between systems are described by composite systems



- system (1) and (2) have the same configurations Q
- $\bullet \ \Delta = \Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2$
- $W = W_1 + W_2$

#### There are distinguished systems called regular

- not constrained:  $\Delta = TQ$
- the cost function is the differential of an internal energy function

 $W(\delta q) = \langle dU(q), \delta q \rangle.$ 

• If q is an equilibrium point for regular system then dU(q) = 0

KG (UW)

Interactions between systems are described by composite systems



- system (1) and (2) have the same configurations Q
- $\bullet \ \Delta = \Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2$
- $W = W_1 + W_2$

There are distinguished systems called regular

• not constrained:  $\Delta = TQ$ 

• the cost function is the differential of an internal energy function

 $W(\delta q) = \langle dU(q), \delta q \rangle.$ 

Interactions between systems are described by composite systems



- system (1) and (2) have the same configurations Q
- $\bullet \ \Delta = \Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2$
- $W = W_1 + W_2$

There are distinguished systems called regular

- not constrained:  $\Delta = TQ$
- the cost function is the differential of an internal energy function

 $W(\delta q) = \langle dU(q), \delta q \rangle.$ 

• If q is an equilibrium point for regular system then  $dU(\underline{q}) = 0$ 

KG (UW)

Interactions between systems are described by composite systems



- system (1) and (2) have the same configurations Q
- $\bullet \ \Delta = \Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2$
- $W = W_1 + W_2$

There are distinguished systems called regular

- not constrained:  $\Delta = TQ$
- the cost function is the differential of an internal energy function

 $W(\delta q) = \langle dU(q), \delta q \rangle.$ 

• If q is an equilibrium point for regular system then dU(q) = 0

KG (UW)

For every point  $q \in Q$  we make a list of all regular systems in equilibrium with our system.

- A regular system at q is represented by a covector  $\varphi \in \mathsf{T}_q^* Q$
- $\bullet\,$  If our system is in equilibrium with a regular system  $\varphi$  than

 $orall \delta oldsymbol{q} \in \Delta_{oldsymbol{q}} \; \; W(\delta oldsymbol{q}) \geqslant \langle arphi, \delta oldsymbol{q} 
angle$ 

- The subset C ⊂ T\*Q of all regular systems in equilibrium with our system is called a constitutive set.
- The passage from (Q, Δ, W) to C is called a Legendre-Fenchel transformation.
- $\bullet\,$  For large class of systems  ${\cal C}$  contains all information of the system.
- For regular system C = dU(TQ).

For every point  $q \in Q$  we make a list of all regular systems in equilibrium with our system.

- A regular system at q is represented by a covector  $\varphi \in T_q^*Q$
- If our system is in equilibrium with a regular system  $\varphi$  than

 $orall \delta oldsymbol{q} \in \Delta_{oldsymbol{q}} \;\; W(\delta oldsymbol{q}) \geqslant \langle arphi, \delta oldsymbol{q} 
angle$ 

- The subset C ⊂ T\*Q of all regular systems in equilibrium with our system is called a constitutive set.
- The passage from (Q, Δ, W) to C is called a Legendre-Fenchel transformation.
- $\bullet\,$  For large class of systems  ${\cal C}$  contains all information of the system.
- For regular system C = dU(TQ).

For every point  $q \in Q$  we make a list of all regular systems in equilibrium with our system.

- A regular system at q is represented by a covector  $\varphi \in \mathsf{T}_q^*Q$
- If our system is in equilibrium with a regular system  $\varphi$  than

 $\forall \delta q \in \Delta_q \ W(\delta q) \geqslant \langle \varphi, \delta q \rangle$ 

- The subset C ⊂ T\*Q of all regular systems in equilibrium with our system is called a constitutive set.
- The passage from (Q, Δ, W) to C is called a Legendre-Fenchel transformation.
- $\bullet\,$  For large class of systems  ${\cal C}$  contains all information of the system.
- For regular system C = dU(TQ).

For every point  $q \in Q$  we make a list of all regular systems in equilibrium with our system.

- A regular system at q is represented by a covector  $\varphi \in \mathsf{T}_q^*Q$
- If our system is in equilibrium with a regular system  $\varphi$  than

 $\forall \delta \boldsymbol{q} \in \Delta_{\boldsymbol{q}} \ W(\delta \boldsymbol{q}) \geqslant \langle \varphi, \delta \boldsymbol{q} \rangle$ 

- The subset C ⊂ T\*Q of all regular systems in equilibrium with our system is called a constitutive set.
- The passage from (Q, Δ, W) to C is called a Legendre-Fenchel transformation.
- For large class of systems  ${\mathcal C}$  contains all information of the system.
- For regular system C = dU(TQ).

For every point  $q \in Q$  we make a list of all regular systems in equilibrium with our system.

- A regular system at q is represented by a covector  $\varphi \in \mathsf{T}_q^*Q$
- If our system is in equilibrium with a regular system  $\varphi$  than

 $\forall \delta \boldsymbol{q} \in \Delta_{\boldsymbol{q}} \ W(\delta \boldsymbol{q}) \geqslant \langle \varphi, \delta \boldsymbol{q} \rangle$ 

- The subset C ⊂ T\*Q of all regular systems in equilibrium with our system is called a constitutive set.
- The passage from (Q, Δ, W) to C is called a Legendre-Fenchel transformation.
- For large class of systems  ${\mathcal C}$  contains all information of the system.
- For regular system C = dU(TQ).

For every point  $q \in Q$  we make a list of all regular systems in equilibrium with our system.

- A regular system at q is represented by a covector  $\varphi \in \mathsf{T}^*_q Q$
- If our system is in equilibrium with a regular system  $\varphi$  than

 $\forall \delta \boldsymbol{q} \in \Delta_{\boldsymbol{q}} \ W(\delta \boldsymbol{q}) \geqslant \langle \varphi, \delta \boldsymbol{q} \rangle$ 

- The subset C ⊂ T\*Q of all regular systems in equilibrium with our system is called a constitutive set.
- The passage from (Q, Δ, W) to C is called a Legendre-Fenchel transformation.
- For large class of systems  ${\mathcal C}$  contains all information of the system.

• For regular system C = dU(TQ).

For every point  $q \in Q$  we make a list of all regular systems in equilibrium with our system.

- A regular system at q is represented by a covector  $\varphi \in \mathsf{T}^*_q Q$
- If our system is in equilibrium with a regular system  $\varphi$  than

 $\forall \delta \boldsymbol{q} \in \Delta_{\boldsymbol{q}} \ W(\delta \boldsymbol{q}) \geqslant \langle \varphi, \delta \boldsymbol{q} \rangle$ 

- The subset C ⊂ T\*Q of all regular systems in equilibrium with our system is called a constitutive set.
- The passage from (Q, Δ, W) to C is called a Legendre-Fenchel transformation.
- For large class of systems  ${\mathcal C}$  contains all information of the system.
- For regular system C = dU(TQ).

# Werner Fenchel (1905-1988)



KG (UW)

 ►
 E

 </th

For other theories, e.g. statics of an elastic rod, mechanics, different field theories... we need

- Configurations Q,
- Processes (or at least tangent vectors TQ),
- Functions on Q (to define regular systems),
- Covectors  $T^*Q$  (to find constitutive set).

• • = • •
#### • Configurations Q,

- Processes (or at least tangent vectors TQ),
- Functions on Q (to define regular systems),
- Covectors  $T^*Q$  (to find constitutive set).

► < Ξ >

• Configurations Q,

• Processes (or at least tangent vectors  $\top Q$ ),

- Functions on Q (to define regular systems),
- Covectors  $T^*Q$  (to find constitutive set).

► < Ξ >

- Configurations Q,
- Processes (or at least tangent vectors TQ),
- Functions on Q (to define regular systems),
- Covectors  $T^*Q$  (to find constitutive set).

. . . . . .

- Configurations Q,
- Processes (or at least tangent vectors TQ),
- Functions on Q (to define regular systems),
- Covectors  $T^*Q$  (to find constitutive set).

- Configurations:  $Q = \{q : [t_0, t_1] -$
- Functions:  $S(q) = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} L(\dot{q}) dt$ .
- Curves in Q come from homotopies:  $\chi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to M$
- Tangent vectors are equivalence classes of curves





- Configurations:  $Q = \{q : [t_0, t_1] \rightarrow N\}$
- Functions:  $S(q) = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} L(\dot{q}) dt$ .
- Curves in Q come from homotopies:  $\chi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to M$
- Tangent vectors are equivalence classes of curves





- Configurations:  $Q = \{q : [t_0, t_1] \rightarrow M\}.$
- Functions:  $S(q) = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} L(\dot{q}) dt$ .
- Curves in Q come from homotopies:  $\chi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to M$
- Tangent vectors are equivalence classes of curves





- Configurations:  $Q = \{ q : [t_0, t_1] \rightarrow M \}.$
- Functions:  $S(q) = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} L(\dot{q}) dt$ .
- Curves in Q come from homotopies:  $\chi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to M$
- Tangent vectors are equivalence classes of curves





- Configurations:  $Q = \{q: [t_0, t_1] \to M\}.$
- Functions:  $S(q) = \int_{t_1}^{t_1} L(\dot{q}) dt$ .
- Curves in Q come from homotopies:  $\chi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to M$
- Tangent vectors are equivalence





- Configurations:  $Q = \{q: [t_0, t_1] \to M\}.$
- Functions:  $S(q) = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} L(\dot{q}) dt$ .
- Curves in Q come from homotopies:  $\chi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to M$
- Tangent vectors are equivalence classes of curves





• Tangent vectors are equivalence classes of curves: two curves  $\gamma,\,\gamma'$  are equivalent if

$$\gamma(0) = \gamma'(0) = q$$
 and for all functions  $\frac{d}{ds}S \circ \gamma(0) = \frac{d}{ds}S \circ \gamma'(0)$ 

(as on manifold...)

Covectors dS(q) are equivalence classes of pairs (q, S): two pairs (q, S), (q', S') are equivalent if

$$q = q'$$
 and for all curves  $\frac{d}{ds}S \circ \gamma(0) = \frac{d}{ds}S' \circ \gamma(0)$ 

(as on manifold...)

Constitutive set of a regular system with action functional S is described by dS(Q). Not very convenient!

• • • • • • • • • • • •

• Tangent vectors are equivalence classes of curves: two curves  $\gamma,\,\gamma'$  are equivalent if

$$\gamma(0) = \gamma'(0) = q$$
 and for all functions  $\frac{d}{ds}S \circ \gamma(0) = \frac{d}{ds}S \circ \gamma'(0)$ 

(as on manifold...)

Covectors dS(q) are equivalence classes of pairs (q, S): two pairs (q, S), (q', S') are equivalent if

$$q = q'$$
 and for all curves  $\frac{d}{ds}S \circ \gamma(0) = \frac{d}{ds}S' \circ \gamma(0)$ 

(as on manifold...)

Constitutive set of a regular system with action functional S is described by dS(Q). Not very convenient!

A (10) N (10) N (10)

• Tangent vectors are equivalence classes of curves: two curves  $\gamma,\,\gamma'$  are equivalent if

 $\gamma(0) = \gamma'(0) = q$  and for all functions  $\frac{d}{ds}S \circ \gamma(0) = \frac{d}{ds}S \circ \gamma'(0)$ 

(as on manifold...)

Covectors dS(q) are equivalence classes of pairs (q, S): two pairs (q, S), (q', S') are equivalent if

$$q = q'$$
 and for all curves  $\frac{d}{ds}S \circ \gamma(0) = \frac{d}{ds}S' \circ \gamma(0)$ 

(as on manifold...)

Constitutive set of a regular system with action functional S is described by dS(Q). Not very convenient!

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

• Tangent vectors are equivalence classes of curves: two curves  $\gamma,\,\gamma'$  are equivalent if

 $\gamma(0) = \gamma'(0) = q$  and for all functions  $\frac{d}{ds}S \circ \gamma(0) = \frac{d}{ds}S \circ \gamma'(0)$ 

(as on manifold...)

Covectors dS(q) are equivalence classes of pairs (q, S): two pairs (q, S), (q', S') are equivalent if

$$q = q'$$
 and for all curves  $\frac{d}{ds}S \circ \gamma(0) = \frac{d}{ds}S' \circ \gamma(0)$ 

(as on manifold...)

Constitutive set of a regular system with action functional S is described by dS(Q). Not very convenient!

We need convenient representations of vectors and covectors:

$$egin{aligned} &rac{d}{ds}S\circ\gamma(0)=\int_{t_0}^{t_1}\langle\mathcal{E}L(\ddot{q}),\delta q
angle dt+\ &\langle\mathcal{P}L(\dot{q}(t_1)),\delta q(t_1)
angle-\langle\mathcal{P}L(\dot{q}(t_0)),\delta q(t_0)
angle \end{aligned}$$

• Tangent vectors are in one-to-one correspondence with curves in TM

• Covectors are in one-to-one correspondence with triples  $(f, p_0, p_1)$ where  $f : [t_0, t_1] \rightarrow T^*M, p_i \in T^*_{q(t_i)}M,$  $\alpha : (f, p_0, p_1) \mapsto dS(q).$ 

We need convenient representations of vectors and covectors:

 $\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds}S\circ\gamma(0) &= \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \langle \mathcal{E}L(\ddot{q}), \delta q \rangle dt + \\ &\langle \mathcal{P}L(\dot{q}(t_1)), \delta q(t_1) \rangle - \langle \mathcal{P}L(\dot{q}(t_0)), \delta q(t_0) \rangle \end{aligned}$ 

• Tangent vectors are in one-to-one correspondence with curves in TM  $\kappa : [\gamma] \mapsto \delta q$ • Covectors are in one-to-one correspondence with triples  $(f, p_0, p_1)$ where  $f : [t_0, t_1] \to T^*M, p_i \in T^*_{q(t_i)}M,$  $\alpha : (f, p_0, p_1) \mapsto dS(q).$ 

We need convenient representations of vectors and covectors:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds}S\circ\gamma(0) &= \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \langle \mathcal{E}L(\ddot{q}), \delta q \rangle dt + \\ &\langle \mathcal{P}L(\dot{q}(t_1)), \delta q(t_1) \rangle - \langle \mathcal{P}L(\dot{q}(t_0)), \delta q(t_0) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

- Tangent vectors are in one-to-one correspondence with curves in TM  $\kappa: [\gamma] \mapsto \delta q$
- Covectors are in one-to-one correspondence with triples  $(f, p_0, p_1)$ where  $f : [t_0, t_1] \rightarrow T^*M, p_i \in T^*_{q(t_i)}M,$  $\alpha : (f, p_0, p_1) \mapsto dS(q).$

 $\kappa : [\gamma] \mapsto \delta q$ 

We need convenient representations of vectors and covectors:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds}S\circ\gamma(0) &= \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \langle \mathcal{E}L(\ddot{q}), \delta q \rangle dt + \\ &\langle \mathcal{P}L(\dot{q}(t_1)), \delta q(t_1) \rangle - \langle \mathcal{P}L(\dot{q}(t_0)), \delta q(t_0) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

• Tangent vectors are in one-to-one correspondence with curves in TM

• Covectors are in one-to-one correspondence with triples  $(f, p_0, p_1)$ where  $f: [t_0, t_1] \rightarrow T^*M, p_i \in T^*_{q(t_i)}M,$  $\alpha: (f, p_0, p_1) \mapsto dS(q).$ 

We have found another representation of covectors (Liouville structure):

```
\alpha: \{(f, p_0, p_1)\} \longrightarrow \mathsf{T}^*Q
```

The dynamics is a subset  $\mathcal{D}$  of  $\{(f, p_0, p_1)\}$ 

 $\mathcal{D} = \alpha^{-1}(dS(Q)),$ 

i.e.

 $\mathcal{D} = \{(f, p_0, p_1): f(t) = \mathcal{E}L(\ddot{q}(t)), p_i = \mathcal{P}L(\dot{q}(t_i))\}$ 

KG (UW)

|田 | |田 | |田 |

We have found another representation of covectors (Liouville structure):

```
\alpha: \{(f, p_0, p_1)\} \longrightarrow \mathsf{T}^*Q
```

The dynamics is a subset  $\mathcal{D}$  of  $\{(f, p_0, p_1)\}$ 

 $\mathcal{D} = \alpha^{-1}(dS(Q)),$ 

i.e.

 $\mathcal{D} = \{(f, p_0, p_1): f(t) = \mathcal{E}L(\ddot{q}(t)), p_i = \mathcal{P}L(\dot{q}(t_i))\}$ 

- Configurations: Q = TM,  $q = \dot{x}(t)$
- Functions:  $S(q) = L(\dot{x}(t))$
- Curves in Q come from homotopies:  $\chi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to M$
- Tangent vectors are equivalence classes of curves in T*M*, i.e elements  $\delta q = \delta \dot{x}$  of TT*M*





- Configurations: Q = TM,  $q = \dot{x}(t)$
- Functions:  $S(q) = L(\dot{x}(t))$
- Curves in Q come from homotopies:  $\chi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to M$
- Tangent vectors are equivalence classes of curves in T*M*, i.e elements  $\delta q = \delta \dot{x}$  of TT*M*





- Configurations: Q = TM,  $q = \dot{x}(t)$
- Functions:  $S(q) = L(\dot{x}(t))$
- Curves in Q come from homotopies:  $\chi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to M$
- Tangent vectors are equivalence classes of curves in T*M*, i.e elements  $\delta q = \delta \dot{x}$  of TT*M*





- Configurations: Q = TM,  $q = \dot{x}(t)$
- Functions:  $S(q) = L(\dot{x}(t))$
- Curves in Q come from homotopies:  $\chi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to M$
- Tangent vectors are equivalence classes of curves in T*M*, i.e elements  $\delta q = \delta \dot{x}$  of TT*M*





- Configurations: Q = TM,  $q = \dot{x}(t)$
- Functions:  $S(q) = L(\dot{x}(t))$
- Curves in Q come from homotopies:  $\chi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to M$
- Tangent vectors are equivalence classes of curves in T*M*, i.e elements  $\delta q = \delta \dot{x}$  of TT*M*





- Configurations: Q = TM,  $q = \dot{x}(t)$
- Functions:  $S(q) = L(\dot{x}(t))$
- Curves in *Q* come from homotopies: χ : ℝ<sup>2</sup> → M
- Tangent vectors are equivalence classes of curves in T*M*, i.e elements  $\delta q = \delta \dot{x}$  of TT*M*





#### • Tangent vectors TQ = TTM

• Since Q = TM is a manifold, covectors are just elements of  $T^*TM$ 

The constitutive set of a regular system is  $dL(TM) \subset T^*TM$ . Again not very convenient.

NG (1	J V V	J

• • = • •

- Tangent vectors TQ = TTM
- Since Q = TM is a manifold, covectors are just elements of  $T^*TM$

The constitutive set of a regular system is  $dL(TM) \subset T^*TM$ . Again not very convenient.

• • = • •

- Tangent vectors TQ = TTM
- Since Q = TM is a manifold, covectors are just elements of  $T^*TM$

The constitutive set of a regular system is  $dL(TM) \subset T^*TM$ . Again not very convenient.

We need convenient representations of vectors and covectors:

• Tangent vectors  $\delta \dot{x}$  are in one-to-one correspondence with vectors tangent to curves  $t \mapsto \delta x(t)$  in TM

 $\kappa_M : \mathsf{TT}M \ni \delta \dot{x} \mapsto (\delta x)^{\cdot} \in \mathsf{TT}M$ 



 Covectors, i.e. elements of T\*TM are in one-to-one correspondence with equivalence classes of pairs (f, p),

 $p: \mathbb{R} \to \mathsf{T}^*M, \quad f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathsf{T}^*M$ 

(f, p), (f', p') are equivalent at t if

 $\langle f(t), \delta x(t) 
angle + rac{d}{dt} \langle p(t), \delta x(t) 
angle = \langle f'(t), \delta x(t) 
angle + rac{d}{dt} \langle p'(t), \delta x(t) 
angle$ 

We need convenient representations of vectors and covectors:

• Tangent vectors  $\delta \dot{x}$  are in one-to-one correspondence with vectors tangent to curves  $t \mapsto \delta x(t)$  in TM

 $\kappa_M : \mathsf{TT}M \ni \delta \dot{x} \mapsto (\delta x)^{\cdot} \in \mathsf{TT}M$ 



 Covectors, i.e. elements of T\*TM are in one-to-one correspondence with equivalence classes of pairs (f, p),

 $p: \mathbb{R} \to \mathsf{T}^*M, \quad f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathsf{T}^*M$ 

(f, p), (f', p') are equivalent at t if

 $\langle f(t), \delta x(t) 
angle + rac{d}{dt} \langle p(t), \delta x(t) 
angle = \langle f'(t), \delta x(t) 
angle + rac{d}{dt} \langle p'(t), \delta x(t) 
angle$ 

We need convenient representations of vectors and covectors:

• Tangent vectors  $\delta \dot{x}$  are in one-to-one correspondence with vectors tangent to curves  $t \mapsto \delta x(t)$  in TM

 $\kappa_M : \mathsf{TT}M \ni \delta \dot{x} \mapsto (\delta x)^{\cdot} \in \mathsf{TT}M$ 



 Covectors, i.e. elements of T\*TM are in one-to-one correspondence with equivalence classes of pairs (f, p),

 $p: \mathbb{R} \to \mathsf{T}^*M, \quad f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathsf{T}^*M$ 

(f, p), (f', p') are equivalent at t if

 $\langle f(t), \delta x(t) 
angle + rac{d}{dt} \langle p(t), \delta x(t) 
angle = \langle f'(t), \delta x(t) 
angle + rac{d}{dt} \langle p'(t), \delta x(t) 
angle$ 

We need convenient representations of vectors and covectors:

• Tangent vectors  $\delta \dot{x}$  are in one-to-one correspondence with vectors tangent to curves  $t \mapsto \delta x(t)$  in TM

 $\kappa_M : \mathsf{TT}M \ni \delta \dot{x} \mapsto (\delta x)^{\cdot} \in \mathsf{TT}M$ 



 Covectors, i.e. elements of T\*TM are in one-to-one correspondence with equivalence classes of pairs (f, p),

$$p: \mathbb{R} \to \mathsf{T}^*M, \quad f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathsf{T}^*M$$

(f, p), (f', p') are equivalent at t if

 $\langle f(t), \delta x(t) \rangle + rac{d}{dt} \langle p(t), \delta x(t) \rangle = \langle f'(t), \delta x(t) \rangle + rac{d}{dt} \langle p'(t), \delta x(t) \rangle$ 

• An equivalence class of (f, p) is an element of  $TT^*M$ 

 $[(f,p)] = \dot{p}(t) + f(t)^{\nu}$ 

where  $f(t)^{v}$  is a vector tangent to the curve  $s \mapsto p(t) + sf(t)$ .

 We get also the tangent evaluation between T\*TM and TTM defined on elemens p and (δx)<sup>-</sup> with the same tangent projection δx on TM:

$$\langle\!\langle \dot{p}, (\delta x)^{\cdot} \rangle\!\rangle = rac{d}{dt} \langle p(t), \delta x(t) 
angle.$$

 The identification of covectors (T\*TM) with elements TT\*M is the map

$$\alpha_M : \mathsf{TT}^*M \longrightarrow \mathsf{T}^*\mathsf{T}M$$

dual to  $\kappa_M$ 

→ < Ξ → </p>

• An equivalence class of (f, p) is an element of  $TT^*M$ 

 $[(f,p)] = \dot{p}(t) + f(t)^{\nu}$ 

where  $f(t)^{v}$  is a vector tangent to the curve  $s \mapsto p(t) + sf(t)$ .

 We get also the tangent evaluation between T\*TM and TTM defined on elemens ṗ and (δx)<sup>·</sup> with the same tangent projection δx on TM:

$$\langle\!\langle \dot{p}, (\delta x)^{\cdot} \rangle\!\rangle = \frac{d}{dt} \langle p(t), \delta x(t) \rangle.$$

 The identification of covectors (T\*TM) with elements TT\*M is the map

```
\alpha_M : \mathsf{TT}^*M \longrightarrow \mathsf{T}^*\mathsf{T}M
```

dual to  $\kappa_M$ 

KG (UW)

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

• An equivalence class of (f, p) is an element of  $TT^*M$ 

 $[(f,p)] = \dot{p}(t) + f(t)^{\nu}$ 

where  $f(t)^{v}$  is a vector tangent to the curve  $s \mapsto p(t) + sf(t)$ .

 We get also the tangent evaluation between T\*TM and TTM defined on elemens ṗ and (δx)<sup>·</sup> with the same tangent projection δx on TM:

$$\langle\!\langle \dot{p}, (\delta x)^{\cdot} \rangle\!\rangle = \frac{d}{dt} \langle p(t), \delta x(t) \rangle.$$

 The identification of covectors (T\*TM) with elements TT\*M is the map

$$\alpha_M : \mathsf{TT}^*M \longrightarrow \mathsf{T}^*\mathsf{T}M$$

dual to  $\kappa_M$ 

KG (UW)
M - positions,

 $\mathsf{T}M$  - configurations,  $L:\mathsf{T}M o \mathbb{R}$  - Lagrangian  $\mathsf{T}^*M$  - phase space

$$\mathcal{D} = \alpha_M^{-1}(\mathsf{d}L(\mathsf{T}M)))$$
  
$$\lambda : \mathsf{T}M \to \mathsf{T}^*M, \ \lambda(v) = \xi(\mathsf{d}L(v)), \ \lambda(x^i, \dot{x}^j) = (x^i, \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}^j}).$$
  
$$\mathcal{D} = \left\{ (x^i, p_j, \dot{x}^k, \dot{p}_l) : \ p_i = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}^i}, \ \dot{p}_j = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x^j} \right\}$$

KG (UW)

27/06/2011 19 / 32

э

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

M - positions, TM - configurations,  $L: TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  - Lagrangian  $T^*M$  - phase space

$$\mathcal{D} = \alpha_M^{-1}(\mathsf{d}L(\mathsf{T}M)))$$
  
$$\lambda : \mathsf{T}M \to \mathsf{T}^*M, \ \lambda(v) = \xi(\mathsf{d}L(v)), \ \lambda(x^i, \dot{x}^j) = (x^i, \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}^j}).$$
  
$$\mathcal{D} = \left\{ (x^i, p_j, \dot{x}^k, \dot{p}_l) : \ p_i = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}^i}, \ \dot{p}_j = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x^j} \right\}$$

KG (UW)

27/06/2011 19 / 32

э

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

M - positions, TM - configurations,  $L : TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  - Lagrangian  $T^*M$  - phase space

$$\mathcal{D} = \alpha_M^{-1}(\mathsf{d}L(\mathsf{T}M)))$$
  
$$\lambda : \mathsf{T}M \to \mathsf{T}^*M, \ \lambda(v) = \xi(\mathsf{d}L(v)), \ \lambda(x^i, \dot{x}^j) = (x^i, \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}^j}).$$
  
$$\mathcal{D} = \left\{ (x^i, p_j, \dot{x}^k, \dot{p}_l) : \ p_i = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}^i}, \ \dot{p}_j = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x^j} \right\}$$

KG (UW)

27/06/2011 19 / 32

э

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

M - positions, TM - configurations,  $L: TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  - Lagrangian  $T^*M$  - phase space

$$\mathcal{D} = \alpha_M^{-1}(\mathsf{d}L(\mathsf{T}M)))$$
  
$$\lambda : \mathsf{T}M \to \mathsf{T}^*M, \ \lambda(v) = \xi(\mathsf{d}L(v)), \ \lambda(x^i, \dot{x}^j) = (x^i, \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}^j}).$$
  
$$\mathcal{D} = \left\{ (x^i, p_j, \dot{x}^k, \dot{p}_l) : \ p_i = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}^i}, \ \dot{p}_j = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x^j} \right\}$$

KG (UW)

 ≥
 ≤
 <</th>
 <</th>

• • • • • • • • • • • •

M - positions, TM - configurations,  $L : TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  - Lagrangian T<sup>\*</sup>M - phase space



$$\mathcal{D} = \alpha_M^{-1}(\mathsf{d}L(\mathsf{T}M)))$$
$$\lambda : \mathsf{T}M \to \mathsf{T}^*M, \ \lambda(v) = \xi(\mathsf{d}L(v)), \ \lambda(x^i, \dot{x}^j) = (x^i, \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}^j}).$$
$$\mathcal{D} = \left\{ (x^i, p_j, \dot{x}^k, \dot{p}_l) : \ p_i = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}^i}, \ \dot{p}_j = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x^j} \right\}$$

M - positions, TM - configurations,  $L : TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  - Lagrangian T<sup>\*</sup>M - phase space



 $\mathcal{D} = \alpha_M^{-1}(\mathsf{d}L(\mathsf{T}M)))$ 

 $\lambda : \mathsf{T}M \to \mathsf{T}^*M, \ \lambda(v) = \xi(\mathsf{d}L(v)), \ \lambda(x^i, \dot{x}^j) = (x^i, \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}^j}).$  $\mathcal{D} = \left\{ (x^i, p_j, \dot{x}^k, \dot{p}_l) : \ p_i = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}^i}, \ \dot{p}_j = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x^j} \right\}$ 

KG (UW)

M - positions, TM - configurations,  $L : TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  - Lagrangian T<sup>\*</sup>M - phase space



 $\mathcal{D} = \alpha_M^{-1}(\mathsf{d}L(\mathsf{T}M)))$  $\lambda : \mathsf{T}M \to \mathsf{T}^*M, \ \lambda(\mathbf{v}) = \xi(\mathsf{d}L(\mathbf{v})), \ \lambda(\mathbf{x}^i, \dot{\mathbf{x}}^j) = (\mathbf{x}^i, \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\mathbf{x}}^j}).$  $\mathcal{D} = \left\{ (\mathbf{x}^i, p_j, \dot{\mathbf{x}}^k, \dot{p}_l) : \ p_i = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\mathbf{x}}^i}, \ \dot{p}_j = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{x}^j} \right\}$ 

KG (UW)

M - positions, TM - configurations,  $L : TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  - Lagrangian T<sup>\*</sup>M - phase space



$$\mathcal{D} = \alpha_M^{-1}(\mathsf{d}L(\mathsf{T}M)))$$
  
$$\lambda : \mathsf{T}M \to \mathsf{T}^*M, \ \lambda(v) = \xi(\mathsf{d}L(v)), \ \lambda(x^i, \dot{x}^j) = (x^i, \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}^j}).$$
  
$$\mathcal{D} = \left\{ (x^i, p_j, \dot{x}^k, \dot{p}_l) : \ p_i = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}^i}, \ \dot{p}_j = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x^j} \right\}$$

There is a canonical isomorphism

 $\mathcal{R}:\mathsf{T}^*\mathsf{T}M\longrightarrow\mathsf{T}^*\mathsf{T}^*M.$ 

It is an isomorphism of double vector bundles and (anti)symplectomorphism. As a symplectic relation it is generated by the canonical evaluation:

#### $\mathsf{T}^*M \times_M \mathsf{T}M \ni (p, \dot{x}) \longmapsto \langle p, \dot{x} \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$

Composed with  $\alpha_M$  it gives another Liouville structure for TT\*M:

#### $\beta_M : \mathsf{TT}^*M \longrightarrow \mathsf{T}^*\mathsf{T}^*M.$

We can therefore find another generating object for  $\mathcal{D}$ . Since composing symplectic relations means adding generating functions, we have

 $E(p,\dot{x}) = \langle p,\dot{x} \rangle - L(\dot{x})$ 

that in some cases can be reduced to Hamiltonian function  $\underline{H}$  on  $\underline{T}^* \underline{M}$ . See

There is a canonical isomorphism

 $\mathcal{R}: \mathsf{T}^*\mathsf{T}M \longrightarrow \mathsf{T}^*\mathsf{T}^*M.$ 

It is an isomorphism of double vector bundles and (anti)symplectomorphism. As a symplectic relation it is generated by the canonical evaluation:

#### $\mathsf{T}^*M\times_M\mathsf{T}M\ni(p,\dot{x})\longmapsto\langle p,\dot{x}\rangle\in\mathbb{R}$

Composed with  $\alpha_M$  it gives another Liouville structure for TT\*M:

 $\beta_M : \mathsf{TT}^*M \longrightarrow \mathsf{T}^*\mathsf{T}^*M.$ 

We can therefore find another generating object for  $\mathcal{D}$ . Since composing symplectic relations means adding generating functions, we have

 $E(p,\dot{x}) = \langle p,\dot{x} \rangle - L(\dot{x})$ 

that in some cases can be reduced to Hamiltonian function  $\underline{H}$  on  $T^*\underline{M}$ . And

There is a canonical isomorphism

 $\mathcal{R}: \mathsf{T}^*\mathsf{T}M \longrightarrow \mathsf{T}^*\mathsf{T}^*M.$ 

It is an isomorphism of double vector bundles and (anti)symplectomorphism. As a symplectic relation it is generated by the canonical evaluation:

#### $\mathsf{T}^*M\times_M\mathsf{T}M\ni(p,\dot{x})\longmapsto\langle p,\dot{x}\rangle\in\mathbb{R}$

Composed with  $\alpha_M$  it gives another Liouville structure for TT\*M:

 $\beta_M : \mathsf{T}\mathsf{T}^*M \longrightarrow \mathsf{T}^*\mathsf{T}^*M.$ 

We can therefore find another generating object for  $\mathcal{D}$ . Since composing symplectic relations means adding generating functions, we have

 $E(p,\dot{x}) = \langle p,\dot{x} \rangle - L(\dot{x})$ 

that in some cases can be reduced to Hamiltonian function  $\underline{H}$  on  $\underline{T}^* \underline{M}$ . Solve

There is a canonical isomorphism

 $\mathcal{R}: \mathsf{T}^*\mathsf{T}M \longrightarrow \mathsf{T}^*\mathsf{T}^*M.$ 

It is an isomorphism of double vector bundles and (anti)symplectomorphism. As a symplectic relation it is generated by the canonical evaluation:

 $\mathsf{T}^*M\times_M\mathsf{T}M\ni(p,\dot{x})\longmapsto\langle p,\dot{x}\rangle\in\mathbb{R}$ 

Composed with  $\alpha_M$  it gives another Liouville structure for TT\*M:

 $\beta_M : \mathsf{TT}^*M \longrightarrow \mathsf{T}^*\mathsf{T}^*M.$ 

We can therefore find another generating object for  $\mathcal{D}$ . Since composing symplectic relations means adding generating functions, we have

 $E(p,\dot{x}) = \langle p,\dot{x} \rangle - L(\dot{x})$ 

that in some cases can be reduced to Hamiltonian function H on  $T^*M$ .

#### $T^*M$ - momenta

Hamiltonian generating family  $E : T^*M \times_M TM \to \mathbb{R}$ or Hamiltonian function  $H : T^*M \to \mathbb{R}$ 

$$\mathcal{D} = \beta_M^{-1}(\mathsf{d}H(\mathsf{T}^*M)))$$
$$\mathcal{D} = \left\{ (x^i, p_j, \dot{x}^k, \dot{p}_l) : \dot{p}_i = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x^i}, \quad \dot{x}^j = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_j} \right\}$$

KG (UW)

 Image: boot diamond in the second second

A D N A B N A B N A B N

 $T^*M$  - momenta Hamiltonian generating family  $E: T^*M \times_M TM \to \mathbb{R}$ or Hamiltonian function  $H: T^*M \to \mathbb{R}$ 

$$\mathcal{D} = \beta_M^{-1}(\mathsf{d}H(\mathsf{T}^*M)))$$
$$\mathcal{D} = \left\{ (x^i, p_j, \dot{x}^k, \dot{p}_l) : \dot{p}_i = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x^i}, \quad \dot{x}^j = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_j} \right\}$$

KG (UW)

 ≥
 ≥

 </th

• • • • • • • • • • • •

 $T^*M$  - momenta Hamiltonian generating family  $E : T^*M \times_M TM \to \mathbb{R}$ or Hamiltonian function  $H : T^*M \to \mathbb{R}$ 

$$\mathcal{D} = \beta_M^{-1}(\mathsf{d}H(\mathsf{T}^*M)))$$
$$\mathcal{D} = \left\{ (x^i, p_j, \dot{x}^k, \dot{p}_l) : \dot{p}_i = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x^i}, \quad \dot{x}^j = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_j} \right\}$$

KG (UW)

 Image: 1
 Image: 1
 Image: 2
 Image: 2

• • = • •

 $T^*M$  - momenta Hamiltonian generating family  $E : T^*M \times_M TM \to \mathbb{R}$ or Hamiltonian function  $H : T^*M \to \mathbb{R}$ 



$$\mathcal{D} = \beta_M^{-1}(\mathsf{d}H(\mathsf{T}^*M)))$$
$$\mathcal{D} = \left\{ (x^i, p_j, \dot{x}^k, \dot{p}_l) : \dot{p}_i = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x^i}, \quad \dot{x}^j = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_j} \right\}$$

KG (UW)

 $T^*M$  - momenta Hamiltonian generating family  $E : T^*M \times_M TM \to \mathbb{R}$ or Hamiltonian function  $H : T^*M \to \mathbb{R}$ 



$$\mathcal{D} = \beta_M^{-1}(\mathrm{d}H(\mathsf{T}^*M)))$$
$$\mathcal{D} = \left\{ (x^i, p_j, \dot{x}^k, \dot{p}_l) : \dot{p}_i = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x^i}, \quad \dot{x}^j = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_j} \right\}$$

KG (UW)

 $T^*M$  - momenta Hamiltonian generating family  $E: T^*M \times_M TM \to \mathbb{R}$ or Hamiltonian function  $H: T^*M \to \mathbb{R}$ 



 $\mathcal{D} = \beta_M^{-1}(\mathsf{d}H(\mathsf{T}^*M)))$  $\mathcal{D} = \left\{ (x^i, p_j, \dot{x}^k, \dot{p}_l) : \dot{p}_i = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x^i}, \quad \dot{x}^j = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_j} \right\}$ 

KG (UW)

 $T^*M$  - momenta Hamiltonian generating family  $E : T^*M \times_M TM \to \mathbb{R}$ or Hamiltonian function  $H : T^*M \to \mathbb{R}$ 



$$\mathcal{D} = \beta_M^{-1}(\mathsf{d}H(\mathsf{T}^*M)))$$
$$\mathcal{D} = \left\{ (x^i, p_j, \dot{x}^k, \dot{p}_l) : \dot{p}_i = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x^i}, \quad \dot{x}^j = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_j} \right\}$$

KG (UW)

# The Tulczyjew triple for mechanics



< 行

Let M be a manifold. We use smooth curves in M and second order Lagrangians:

 $L: \mathsf{T}^2 M \ni \ddot{x} \longmapsto L(\ddot{x}) \in \mathbb{R}.$ 

We concentrate on infinitesimal picture.

 Configurations Q = T<sup>2</sup>M, q = x(t). The set of configurations is a manifold, therefore

$$\mathsf{T} Q = \mathsf{T} \mathsf{T}^2 M \qquad \mathsf{T}^* Q = \mathsf{T}^* \mathsf{T}^2 M.$$

 We observe that there is the natural identification of T<sup>2</sup>M as a subset of TTM:

$$\mathsf{T}^2M
i(x^i,\dot{x}^j,\ddot{x}^k)\longmapsto(x^i,\dot{x}^j,\dot{x}^k,\ddot{x}^l)\in\mathsf{TT}M$$

We can therefore treat the second order theory as a first order theory on TM with constraint

$$\{(x^{i}, \dot{x}^{j}, {x'}^{k}, \dot{x'}^{l}) \in \mathsf{TT}M: \dot{x}^{j} = {x'}^{k}\}$$

Let M be a manifold. We use smooth curves in M and second order Lagrangians:

$$L: \mathsf{T}^2 M \ni \ddot{x} \longmapsto L(\ddot{x}) \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We concentrate on infinitesimal picture.

• Configurations  $Q = T^2 M$ ,  $q = \ddot{x}(t)$ . The set of configurations is a manifold, therefore

$$\mathsf{T} Q = \mathsf{T} \mathsf{T}^2 M \qquad \mathsf{T}^* Q = \mathsf{T}^* \mathsf{T}^2 M.$$

• We observe that there is the natural identification of  $T^2M$  as a subset of TTM:

$$\mathsf{T}^2M
i(x^i,\dot{x}^j,\ddot{x}^k)\longmapsto(x^i,\dot{x}^j,\dot{x}^k,\ddot{x}^l)\in\mathsf{TT}M$$

We can therefore treat the second order theory as a first order theory on  $\mathsf{T}M$  with constraint

$$\{(x^{i}, \dot{x}^{j}, {x'}^{k}, \dot{x'}^{l}) \in \mathsf{TT}M: \dot{x}^{j} = {x'}^{k}\}$$

Let M be a manifold. We use smooth curves in M and second order Lagrangians:

$$L: \mathsf{T}^2 M \ni \ddot{x} \longmapsto L(\ddot{x}) \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We concentrate on infinitesimal picture.

• Configurations  $Q = T^2 M$ ,  $q = \ddot{x}(t)$ . The set of configurations is a manifold, therefore

$$\mathsf{T} Q = \mathsf{T} \mathsf{T}^2 M \qquad \mathsf{T}^* Q = \mathsf{T}^* \mathsf{T}^2 M.$$

 We observe that there is the natural identification of T<sup>2</sup>M as a subset of TTM:

$$\mathsf{T}^2M
i(x^i,\dot{x}^j,\ddot{x}^k)\longmapsto(x^i,\dot{x}^j,\dot{x}^k,\ddot{x}^l)\in\mathsf{TT}M$$

We can therefore treat the second order theory as a first order theory on  $\mathsf{T}M$  with constraint

$$\{(x^{i}, \dot{x}^{j}, {x'}^{k}, \dot{x}'^{l}) \in \mathsf{TT}M: \dot{x}^{j} = {x'}^{k}\}$$

We look for the convenient representation of covectors. We use calculations on finite interval:

 $\frac{d}{ds}S \circ \gamma(0) = \int_{a}^{b} \left(\frac{dL}{dx^{i}} - \frac{d}{dt}\frac{dL}{d\dot{x}^{i}} + \frac{d}{dt^{2}}\frac{dL}{d\ddot{x}^{i}}\right) \delta x^{i} dt + \left[\left(\frac{dL}{d\dot{x}^{j}} - \frac{d}{dt}\frac{dL}{d\ddot{x}^{j}}\right)\delta x^{j} + \frac{dL}{d\ddot{x}^{k}}\delta \dot{x}^{k}\right]_{a}^{b}$ 

- "Momenta" are elements of  $T^*TM$ .
- The constitutive set: D<sub>L</sub> of T<sup>\*</sup>TTM is generated by a function on submanifold.
- The convenient representation of  $\mathcal{D}_L$  is

 $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathsf{TT}^*\mathsf{T}M, \quad \mathcal{D} = lpha_{\mathsf{T}M}^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_L) \ \mathcal{D} = \{(x,\dot{x},\pi,
ho,x',\dot{x}',\pi',
ho'):$ 

イロン イヨン イヨン

We look for the convenient representation of covectors. We use calculations on finite interval:

$$\frac{d}{ds}S \circ \gamma(0) = \int_{a}^{b} \left(\frac{dL}{dx^{i}} - \frac{d}{dt}\frac{dL}{dx^{i}} + \frac{d}{dt^{2}}\frac{dL}{dx^{i}}\right) \delta x^{i} dt + \left[\left(\frac{dL}{dx^{j}} - \frac{d}{dt}\frac{dL}{dx^{j}}\right)\delta x^{j} + \frac{dL}{dx^{k}}\delta x^{k}\right]_{a}^{b}$$

- "Momenta" are elements of  $T^*TM$ .
- The constitutive set:  $\mathcal{D}_L$  of  $\mathsf{T}^*\mathsf{TT}M$  is generated by a function on submanifold.
- The convenient representation of  $\mathcal{D}_L$  is

$$\mathcal{D} \subset \mathsf{T}\mathsf{T}^*\mathsf{T}M, \quad \mathcal{D} = \alpha_{\mathsf{T}M}^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_L)$$
$$\mathcal{D} = \{(x, \dot{x}, \pi, \rho, x', \dot{x}', \pi', \rho') :$$
$$\pi + \rho' = \frac{dL}{d\dot{x}}, \ \rho = \frac{dL}{d\ddot{x}}, \ \pi' = \frac{dL}{dx}, \ \dot{x} = x'\}$$

We look for the convenient representation of covectors. We use calculations on finite interval:

$$\frac{d}{ds}S \circ \gamma(0) = \int_{a}^{b} \left(\frac{dL}{dx^{i}} - \frac{d}{dt}\frac{dL}{dx^{i}} + \frac{d}{dt^{2}}\frac{dL}{dx^{i}}\right) \delta x^{i} dt + \left[\left(\frac{dL}{dx^{j}} - \frac{d}{dt}\frac{dL}{dx^{j}}\right)\delta x^{j} + \frac{dL}{dx^{k}}\delta x^{k}\right]_{a}^{b}$$

#### • "Momenta" are elements of $T^*TM$ .

 The constitutive set: D<sub>L</sub> of T\*TTM is generated by a function on submanifold.

• The convenient representation of  $\mathcal{D}_L$  is

$$\mathcal{D} \subset \mathsf{T}\mathsf{T}^*\mathsf{T}M, \quad \mathcal{D} = \alpha_{\mathsf{T}M}^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_L)$$
$$\mathcal{D} = \{(x, \dot{x}, \pi, \rho, x', \dot{x}', \pi', \rho') :$$
$$\pi + \rho' = \frac{dL}{d\dot{x}}, \ \rho = \frac{dL}{d\ddot{x}}, \ \pi' = \frac{dL}{dx}, \ \dot{x} = x'\}$$

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □

We look for the convenient representation of covectors. We use calculations on finite interval:

$$\frac{d}{ds}S \circ \gamma(0) = \int_{a}^{b} \left(\frac{dL}{dx^{i}} - \frac{d}{dt}\frac{dL}{d\dot{x}^{i}} + \frac{d}{dt^{2}}\frac{dL}{d\ddot{x}^{i}}\right) \delta x^{i} dt + \left[\left(\frac{dL}{d\dot{x}^{j}} - \frac{d}{dt}\frac{dL}{d\ddot{x}^{j}}\right)\delta x^{j} + \frac{dL}{d\ddot{x}^{k}}\delta \dot{x}^{k}\right]_{a}^{b}$$

- "Momenta" are elements of  $T^*TM$ .
- The constitutive set: D<sub>L</sub> of T\*TTM is generated by a function on submanifold.
- The convenient representation of  $\mathcal{D}_L$  is

$$\mathcal{D} \subset \mathsf{TT}^*\mathsf{T}M, \quad \mathcal{D} = \alpha_{\mathsf{T}M}^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_L)$$
$$\mathcal{D} = \{(x, \dot{x}, \pi, \rho, x', \dot{x}', \pi', \rho') :$$
$$\pi + \rho' = \frac{dL}{d\dot{x}}, \ \rho = \frac{dL}{d\ddot{x}}, \ \pi' = \frac{dL}{dx}, \ \dot{x} = x'\}$$

• • = • •

We look for the convenient representation of covectors. We use calculations on finite interval:

$$\frac{d}{ds}S \circ \gamma(0) = \int_{a}^{b} \left(\frac{dL}{dx^{i}} - \frac{d}{dt}\frac{dL}{d\dot{x}^{i}} + \frac{d}{dt^{2}}\frac{dL}{d\ddot{x}^{i}}\right) \delta x^{i} dt + \left[\left(\frac{dL}{d\dot{x}^{j}} - \frac{d}{dt}\frac{dL}{d\ddot{x}^{j}}\right)\delta x^{j} + \frac{dL}{d\ddot{x}^{k}}\delta \dot{x}^{k}\right]_{a}^{b}$$

- "Momenta" are elements of  $T^*TM$ .
- The constitutive set: D<sub>L</sub> of T\*TTM is generated by a function on submanifold.
- The convenient representation of  $\mathcal{D}_L$  is

$$\mathcal{D} \subset \mathsf{TT}^*\mathsf{T}M, \quad \mathcal{D} = \alpha_{\mathsf{T}M}^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_L)$$
$$\mathcal{D} = \{(x, \dot{x}, \pi, \rho, x', \dot{x}', \pi', \rho') :$$
$$\pi + \rho' = \frac{dL}{d\dot{x}}, \ \rho = \frac{dL}{d\ddot{x}}, \ \pi' = \frac{dL}{dx}, \ \dot{x} = x'\}$$

The Tulczyjew triple for elastic rod:



Generating object for  $\mathcal{D}_H$ :

 $E: \mathsf{T}^*\mathsf{T}M \times_{\mathsf{T}M} \mathsf{T}^2M \to \mathbb{R}$ 

Generating object for  $\mathcal{D}_L$ 

 $L: \mathsf{T}^2 M \to \mathbb{R}, \quad \mathsf{T}^2 M \subset \mathsf{T}\mathsf{T} M.$ 

Image: A Image: A

The Tulczyjew triple for elastic rod:



Generating object for  $\mathcal{D}_{I}$ 

 $E: \mathsf{T}^*\mathsf{T}M \times_{\mathsf{T}M} \mathsf{T}^2M \to \mathbb{R}$   $L: \mathsf{T}^2M \to \mathbb{R}, \quad \mathsf{T}^2M \subset \mathsf{T}\mathsf{T}M.$ 

- 4 回 ト 4 ヨ ト 4 ヨ ト

The Tulczyjew triple for elastic rod:



Generating object for  $\mathcal{D}_H$ :

Generating object for  $\mathcal{D}_{I}$ 

 $E: \mathsf{T}^*\mathsf{T}M \times_{\mathsf{T}M} \mathsf{T}^2M \to \mathbb{R}$   $L: \mathsf{T}^2M \to \mathbb{R}, \quad \mathsf{T}^2M \subset \mathsf{T}\mathsf{T}M.$ 

→ ∃ →

The Newtonian space-time is a  $(N, V, \tau, g)$ , where N is a four-dimensional affine space modeled on V,  $\tau \in V^*$ ,  $g : E_0 \to E_0^*$ ,  $E_0 = \ker \tau$ .



• Homogeneous Lagrangian depends on an inertial frame *u* 

$$L_u(x,v) = \frac{m}{2} \langle g(i_u(v)), i_u(v) \rangle - \langle \tau, v \rangle U(x)$$

• The transformation rule is an affine one:

 $L_{u'}(x,v) = L_u(x,v) + \langle \sigma(u,u'),v \rangle$ 

• • • • • • • • • • • • •

 The frame independent Lagrangian is not a function. It is a section of the bundle N × W → N × V

• (W, w) is a five dimensional special vector space,  $W/\langle w \rangle = V$ 

The Newtonian space-time is a  $(N, V, \tau, g)$ , where N is a four-dimensional affine space modeled on V,  $\tau \in V^*$ ,  $g : E_0 \to E_0^*$ ,  $E_0 = \ker \tau$ .



• Homogeneous Lagrangian depends on an inertial frame *u* 

$$L_u(x,v) = \frac{m}{2} \langle g(i_u(v)), i_u(v) \rangle - \langle \tau, v \rangle U(x)$$

• The transformation rule is an affine one:

 $L_{u'}(x,v) = L_u(x,v) + \langle \sigma(u,u'),v \rangle$ 

 The frame independent Lagrangian is not a function. It is a section of the bundle N × W → N × V

• (W, w) is a five dimensional special vector space,  $W/\langle w \rangle = V$ 

The Newtonian space-time is a  $(N, V, \tau, g)$ , where N is a four-dimensional affine space modeled on V,  $\tau \in V^*$ ,  $g : E_0 \to E_0^*$ ,  $E_0 = \ker \tau$ .



• Homogeneous Lagrangian depends on an inertial frame *u* 

$$L_u(x,v) = \frac{m}{2} \langle g(i_u(v)), i_u(v) \rangle - \langle \tau, v \rangle U(x)$$

• The transformation rule is an affine one:

 $L_{u'}(x,v) = L_u(x,v) + \langle \sigma(u,u'),v \rangle$ 

• • • • • • • • • • • • •

 The frame independent Lagrangian is not a function. It is a section of the bundle N × W → N × V

• (W, w) is a five dimensional special vector space,  $W/\langle w \rangle = V$ 

The Newtonian space-time is a  $(N, V, \tau, g)$ , where N is a four-dimensional affine space modeled on V,  $\tau \in V^*$ ,  $g : E_0 \to E_0^*$ ,  $E_0 = \ker \tau$ .



• Homogeneous Lagrangian depends on an inertial frame *u* 

$$L_u(x,v) = \frac{m}{2} \langle g(i_u(v)), i_u(v) \rangle - \langle \tau, v \rangle U(x)$$

• The transformation rule is an affine one:

 $L_{u'}(x,v) = L_u(x,v) + \langle \sigma(u,u'),v \rangle$ 

 The frame independent Lagrangian is not a function. It is a section of the bundle N × W → N × V

• (W, w) is a five dimensional special vector space,  $W/\langle w \rangle = V$ 

The Newtonian space-time is a  $(N, V, \tau, g)$ , where N is a four-dimensional affine space modeled on V,  $\tau \in V^*$ ,  $g : E_0 \to E_0^*$ ,  $E_0 = \ker \tau$ .



• Homogeneous Lagrangian depends on an inertial frame *u* 

$$L_u(x,v) = \frac{m}{2} \langle g(i_u(v)), i_u(v) \rangle - \langle \tau, v \rangle U(x)$$

• The transformation rule is an affine one:

 $L_{u'}(x,v) = L_u(x,v) + \langle \sigma(u,u'),v \rangle$ 

 The frame independent Lagrangian is not a function. It is a section of the bundle N × W → N × V

• (W, w) is a five dimensional special vector space,  $W/\langle w \rangle = V$
About affine objects:

- K. Grabowska, J. Grabowski and P. Urbanski, AV-differential geometry: Poisson and Jacobi structures, J. Geom. Phys., 52 (2004), 398–446.
- K. Grabowska, P. Urbański: "AV-differential geometry and calculus of variations" Proceedings of the XV International Workshop on Geometry and Physics (2006)

A 回 > < 三 >

About affine objects:

- K. Grabowska, J. Grabowski and P. Urbanski, AV-differential geometry: Poisson and Jacobi structures, J. Geom. Phys., 52 (2004), 398–446.
- K. Grabowska, P. Urbański: "AV-differential geometry and calculus of variations" Proceedings of the XV International Workshop on Geometry and Physics (2006)



- The difference of two sections is a function φ<sub>2</sub> φ<sub>1</sub> = f
- $(v_1, \varphi_1)$  and  $(v_2, \varphi_1)$  are equivalent if  $v_1 = v_2$ ,  $d(\varphi_2 \varphi_1)(v) = 0$
- The equivalence class is an "affine covector" dφ<sub>1</sub>(v)
- The set of all affine covectors is an affine bundle

 $\mathsf{P}W \to V$ , trivial, i.e.  $\mathsf{P}W = V \times \mathcal{P}$ .

• • = • • =



- The difference of two sections is a function  $\varphi_2 \varphi_1 = f$
- $(v_1, \varphi_1)$  and  $(v_2, \varphi_1)$  are equivalent if  $v_1 = v_2$ ,  $d(\varphi_2 \varphi_1)(v) = 0$
- The equivalence class is an "affine covector"  $d \varphi_1(v)$
- The set of all affine covectors is an affine bundle

 $\mathsf{P}W \to V$ , trivial, i.e.  $\mathsf{P}W = V \times \mathcal{P}$ .

• • = • •



- The difference of two sections is a function  $\varphi_2 \varphi_1 = f$
- $(v_1, \varphi_1)$  and  $(v_2, \varphi_1)$  are equivalent if  $v_1 = v_2$ ,  $d(\varphi_2 \varphi_1)(v) = 0$
- The equivalence class is an "affine covector" dφ<sub>1</sub>(v)
- The set of all affine covectors is an affine bundle

 $\mathsf{P}W \to V$ , trivial, i.e.  $\mathsf{P}W = V \times \mathcal{P}$ .

► < Ξ >



- The difference of two sections is a function  $\varphi_2 \varphi_1 = f$
- $(v_1, \varphi_1)$  and  $(v_2, \varphi_1)$  are equivalent if  $v_1 = v_2$ ,  $d(\varphi_2 \varphi_1)(v) = 0$
- The equivalence class is an "affine covector" dφ<sub>1</sub>(v)
- The set of all affine covectors is an affine bundle

 $\mathsf{P}W \to V$ , trivial, i.e.  $\mathsf{P}W = V \times \mathcal{P}$ .

• • = • •



- The difference of two sections is a function  $\varphi_2 \varphi_1 = f$
- $(v_1, \varphi_1)$  and  $(v_2, \varphi_1)$  are equivalent if  $v_1 = v_2$ ,  $d(\varphi_2 \varphi_1)(v) = 0$
- The equivalence class is an "affine covector" dφ<sub>1</sub>(v)
- The set of all affine covectors is an affine bundle

 $\mathsf{P}W \to V$ , trivial, i.e.  $\mathsf{P}W = V \times \mathcal{P}$ .

- Frame independent lagrangian L is a section of  $N \times W \rightarrow N \times V$ .
- The constitutive set  $dL(N \times V)$  is a subset of  $P(N \times W)$
- Momenta are elements of  $N \times P$
- The Liouville structure coming from convenient representations of momenta is

$$\alpha: \mathsf{T}(\mathsf{N} \times \mathcal{P}) \longrightarrow \mathsf{P}(\mathsf{N} \times \mathsf{W})$$

• The lagrangian side of the triple is:

A (1) > A (2) > A

- Frame independent lagrangian L is a section of  $N \times W \rightarrow N \times V$ .
- The constitutive set  $dL(N \times V)$  is a subset of  $P(N \times W)$
- Momenta are elements of  $N \times P$
- The Liouville structure coming from convenient representations of momenta is

 $\alpha: \mathsf{T}(\mathsf{N} \times \mathcal{P}) \longrightarrow \mathsf{P}(\mathsf{N} \times \mathsf{W})$ 

• The lagrangian side of the triple is:

< (日) × (日) × (1)

- Frame independent lagrangian L is a section of  $N \times W \rightarrow N \times V$ .
- The constitutive set  $dL(N \times V)$  is a subset of  $P(N \times W)$
- Momenta are elements of  $N \times P$
- The Liouville structure coming from convenient representations of momenta is

 $\alpha:\mathsf{T}(\mathsf{N}\times\mathcal{P})\longrightarrow\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{N}\times\mathsf{W})$ 

• The lagrangian side of the triple is:

< (日) × (日) × (1)

- Frame independent lagrangian L is a section of  $N \times W \rightarrow N \times V$ .
- The constitutive set  $dL(N \times V)$  is a subset of  $P(N \times W)$
- Momenta are elements of  $N \times P$
- The Liouville structure coming from convenient representations of momenta is

$$\alpha:\mathsf{T}(\mathit{N}\times\mathcal{P})\longrightarrow\mathsf{P}(\mathit{N}\times\mathit{W})$$

• The lagrangian side of the triple is:

. . . . . .

- Frame independent lagrangian L is a section of  $N \times W \rightarrow N \times V$ .
- The constitutive set  $dL(N \times V)$  is a subset of  $P(N \times W)$
- Momenta are elements of  $N \times P$
- The Liouville structure coming from convenient representations of momenta is

$$\alpha:\mathsf{T}(\mathsf{N}\times\mathcal{P})\longrightarrow\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{N}\times\mathsf{W})$$

• The lagrangian side of the triple is:



- Frame independent lagrangian L is a section of  $N \times W \rightarrow N \times V$ .
- The constitutive set  $dL(N \times V)$  is a subset of  $P(N \times W)$
- Momenta are elements of  $N \times P$
- The Liouville structure coming from convenient representations of momenta is

$$\alpha:\mathsf{T}(\mathsf{N}\times\mathcal{P})\longrightarrow\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{N}\times\mathsf{W})$$

• The lagrangian side of the triple is:



#### Other triples - Newtonian mechanics There is an isomorphism

 $\mathsf{T}^*(N \times \mathcal{P}) \simeq \mathsf{P}(N \times W)$ 

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < Ξ > < Ξ 27/06/2011 30 / 32

There is an isomorphism

 $\mathsf{T}^*(N\times\mathcal{P})\simeq\mathsf{P}(N\times\mathcal{W})$ 

It is possible therefore to find another Liouville structure for  $T(N \times P)$ :

 $\beta: \mathsf{T}(\mathsf{N} \times \mathcal{P}) \longrightarrow \mathsf{T}^*(\mathsf{N} \times \mathcal{P})$ 

We get this way the Hamiltonian side of the triple:

The generating object in this case is not a single Hamiltonian function but a family of Hamiltonians.

There is an isomorphism

 $\mathsf{T}^*(N \times \mathcal{P}) \simeq \mathsf{P}(N \times W)$ 

It is possible therefore to find another Liouville structure for  $T(N \times P)$ :

 $\beta: \mathsf{T}(\mathsf{N} \times \mathcal{P}) \longrightarrow \mathsf{T}^*(\mathsf{N} \times \mathcal{P})$ 

We get this way the Hamiltonian side of the triple:



The generating object in this case is not a single Hamiltonian function but a family of Hamiltonians.

There is an isomorphism

 $\mathsf{T}^*(N \times \mathcal{P}) \simeq \mathsf{P}(N \times W)$ 

It is possible therefore to find another Liouville structure for  $T(N \times P)$ :

 $\beta: \mathsf{T}(\mathsf{N} \times \mathcal{P}) \longrightarrow \mathsf{T}^*(\mathsf{N} \times \mathcal{P})$ 

We get this way the Hamiltonian side of the triple:



The generating object in this case is not a single Hamiltonian function but a family of Hamiltonians.

There is an isomorphism

 $\mathsf{T}^*(N \times \mathcal{P}) \simeq \mathsf{P}(N \times W)$ 

It is possible therefore to find another Liouville structure for  $T(N \times P)$ :

 $\beta: \mathsf{T}(\mathsf{N} \times \mathcal{P}) \longrightarrow \mathsf{T}^*(\mathsf{N} \times \mathcal{P})$ 

We get this way the Hamiltonian side of the triple:



The generating object in this case is not a single Hamiltonian function but a family of Hamiltonians.

The Tulczyjew triple for frame independent, homogeneous Newtonian mechanics:



- Tulczyjew triples come from variational calculus, that is adapted for statics, but can be also used in other theories
- The triples are constructed, not postulated!

THANK YOU!

• • = • •

- Tulczyjew triples come from variational calculus, that is adapted for statics, but can be also used in other theories
- The triples are constructed, not postulated!

THANK YOU!

• • = • •

- Tulczyjew triples come from variational calculus, that is adapted for statics, but can be also used in other theories
- The triples are constructed, not postulated!

THANK YOU!

→ Ξ →

- Tulczyjew triples come from variational calculus, that is adapted for statics, but can be also used in other theories
- The triples are constructed, not postulated!

THANK YOU!

- 3 ► ►